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It Doesn’t Have To Be This Way—An Introduction

Too often, professionals and attorneys who work with court-involved children accept the obstacles, the hardships, and the suffering placed in the paths of their clients as inevitable. They fail to imagine a better way, fail to challenge the status quo, fail to ask hard questions of themselves and the system. The best of them, however, those attorneys and professionals we admire most, look at the obstacles, the entrenched bureaucracies, the professional blinders placed on those working in the system, and say to themselves, their clients and their communities, “It doesn’t have to be this way.” They do the hard work, ask the uncomfortable questions, and maintain high expectations for themselves, their clients, the courts and the service delivery systems upon which children depend.

One of those perceived realities or barriers that we too often accept as unchangeable is that children who come through our nation’s courts cannot do well in school. As explained below, we are working hard to change this belief, this reality. We welcome your interest in joining the effort, and hope that you will come to agree that when it comes to court-involved children not staying in school, not succeeding in school, not finishing school, it does not have to be this way any longer.

A few of the problems we confront

We see too many school system personnel who espouse the belief that excluding children from school is the only way to hold them accountable and that safe schools only exist when children who most need to be there are not—in school that is. We see paperwork being lost, records not being sent, children being denied admission, credits and graduation. Foster children are moved from school to school because their overworked social workers cannot find suitable or long-term foster homes. These foster children see the frown on the registrar’s face when she hears that this is a foster child from a different county (what is one more frown to a child who has seen so many?). It doesn’t have to be this way.

We all watch as children with disabilities are medicated, neglected, rejected, their emotional and behavioral issues not adequately addressed in school, only to be criminalized in the courts. Behavior that used to result in a call to and meeting with parents now leads to a call to the police. Children who are truant are placed into foster care before anyone bothers to ask whether the child’s education is adequate. We know parents who, while trying to hold down a job and keep their children from going back into state care, get called to come pick up their “troublesome” child from school every day. Drip, drip, drip, like some kind of water torture, the phone rings every afternoon, the supervisor glowers, the parent is torn between her fear of her child being expelled or losing her job. It doesn’t have to be this way.

In our nation’s cities, in our nation’s impoverished communities, children who need the most often get the least. Teachers are fleeing the most challenged school districts, not wanting to get penalized by No Child Left Behind, not wanting to work either in a school with 30% teacher and administrative turnover, and 50% student turnover, every year, or with children who have not had access to quality pre-school education.

In most states, the percentage of students on free lunch in a school or school district is the single biggest predictor of low test scores, inexperienced teachers, and inadequate school funding. It doesn’t have to be this way.

What we are trying to do

In 2002, eight children’s law centers from around the country formed the National Children’s Law Network and agreed: children involved in the foster care and juvenile justice systems could and should do better in school, and court professionals needed to be responsible for making this happen. The members, spread across the country from Los Angeles to Boston, from Minnesota to Virginia have dedicated themselves to developing training models and materials and spreading the word to anyone who would listen: You need to do better and you must. We have a campaign theme—In School, The Right School, Finish School—and are rolling it out, training by training, locality by locality, state by state.
The materials compiled in this manual are part of the effort to increase the ability of professionals in the court system—lawyers, social workers, probation officers, judges—to become effective educational advocates for the children they serve. For every child, in every case, at every hearing, the children need us to be asking the right questions—Is the child in school? Is it the right school? And with the services s/he has can s/he finish school? — and to be pushing for change if the answers are not satisfactory.

We have also included materials on special education, the educational rights of homeless youth and youth in the foster care system, vocational and technical education, education for youth involved with the juvenile justice system, and school discipline.

But these are only tools. They are useless if left lying in the box. For every child, in every case, at every hearing, we need to remember the consequences of not asking the right questions and getting the right answers. Our prisons, our welfare rolls, our homeless shelters, are too frequently filled with those children who we are rearing together: former foster children and juvenile probationers who have no diploma, who read below their age level, who never completed school. Every time we look at our clients, we must remember this reality, ask those hard questions, and not stop working until we have the right answers.

Most importantly, we must also remember that with the right opportunities our clients, these children, can do well. We cannot be among the doubters; we must be among the promoters, the ones with hope for the futures of our young people. We must fight hard for these futures, demanding that everyone—lawyers, parents, social workers, probation officers, teachers, principals, school board members—is working toward the educational success of the child before them, just as if it were their own child whose life was at stake. No school meeting is too insignificant to attend, no teacher too unimportant to call, no statute too complicated to read.

These children, these students, in other words, require more from us if we are to expect more from them.

**In School, The Right School, Finish School**

So many court-involved children are now out of school or trapped in failing schools, that the chance for them to complete school may seem remote. But we can change that, child by child, question by question, by asking, again and again and again, for these three things—that each child is in school, that the school in which the child is enrolled is the right school, and that the services are in place for him or her to finish school. We do not have to ask for much, only these three things. And yet, by asking for these three simple opportunities, or even better, demanding them—**In School, The Right School, Finish School**—we could literally change their worlds and ours. Every day in court we hear judges and others, including ourselves, say to so many kids, “You need to make your education a priority.” Isn’t it time, that we made it our priority too?

Out of school, in the wrong school, dropping out—it doesn’t have to be this way any longer. Thank you for your dedication to this important cause. Please let us know how we can help you help our children.
The No Child Left Behind Act

Note: In 2007 the No Child Left behind Act of 2001 is up for Congressional Reauthorization with Congressional hearings likely occurring in the winter months of 2007.

The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) is designed to improve the academic achievement of disadvantaged children so that every child has academic and occupational opportunity that can lead to success. NCLB aims to close the achievement gap through accountability, flexibility and school choice.

How does NCLB hold schools accountable?

NCLB requires schools, local educational agencies, and states to be held accountable for improving the academic achievement of all students, and identifying and turning around low-performing schools that have failed to provide a high-quality education to their students. Each state must establish statewide annual measurable objectives and must have a system for determining whether a school has made adequate yearly progress. There must be yearly student academic assessments in reading or language arts, mathematics, and science. Adequate yearly progress is demonstrated by continuous and substantial improvement of all students, and achievement by economically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency. Schools that fail to make adequate yearly progress for two consecutive years are then identified as schools in need of improvement.

How do I know if a school is in need of improvement?

Each year every local educational agency (LEA) must collect data about each school in its district and release a “report card.” This report card must: 1) identify each school served by the LEA; 2) state whether the school has been identified for school improvement and 3) show how the school’s student achievement levels on statewide academic assessments compare to students’ achievement both in the rest of the school district and in the state as a whole. The LEA must make this data widely available to the public through such means as the internet and the media. The LEA must also make sure that each parent receives an understandable statement of the information. To the extent possible this information must be in a language the parent understands.

What are the choices if a child attends a school in need of improvement?

If a school is identified as needing improvement, the school district must provide all students enrolled in the school with the option to transfer to another public school served by the school district that has not been identified for school improvement. This can include public charter schools. When providing the option to transfer, priority is given to the lowest achieving children from low-income families.

Who is responsible for transporting a child to a different public school?

The local educational agency must provide or pay for transportation to the public school if a parent has decided to transfer their child from a school in need of improvement. The child may stay at the new school until he or she has completed the highest grade in that school. However, the local educational agency is only required to provide transportation if the school from which the child transferred is in need of improvement. Once the school is removed from that list, transportation will only be provided until the end of the current school year.

What if all schools in the local educational area are in need of improvement?

To the extent possible, the local educational area must establish a cooperative agreement with other local educational agencies in the area, which allows students to transfer to another district.

Are there any other choices besides transferring the child to a new school?

If, after three years, a school continues to fail to make adequate yearly progress, the school must continue to offer the option to transfer, and the LEA must make supplemental educational services available to children who remain in the school. Parents must be given notice about the availability of these services, a brief description of the services, and a list of approved providers. Supplemental services include tutoring and other instruction, in addition to what is available during the regular school day, that are designed to increase academic achievement of eligible children.
What if an eligible student is not being offered school choice and/or supplemental services?
Contact the State Department of Education. If that does not get results, contact the U.S. Secretary of Education’s Regional Representative for the region, or your local Legal Aid program.

Where is more information about No Child Left Behind?
Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004

Note: On July 1, 2005, Congress reauthorized the IDEA as The Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004.

What is the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004, 20 U.S.C. §§1400, et seq., (IDEIA) is the main federal statute addressing the education rights of children who have disabilities. Federal legislation for educational assistance to children with disabilities has been in existence for decades. Since 2004, it has been known as Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (“IDEIA”). The IDEIA provides federal funds to assist state and local education agencies in meeting the needs of disabled children. In exchange, state and local agencies must abide by the Act’s substantive and procedural requirements. Public schools must identify children with disabilities (including homeless children and wards of the state) who may need specialized education and provide them with individualized education programs and related services designed to meet their needs and to prepare them for employment and independent living.

New federal regulations pertaining to the IDEIA were published in final form on August 14, 2006, and became effective on October 13, 2006. These regulations are numerous and should be reviewed carefully. Some of the substantive changes that were made include definitional changes; clarifications made to the FAPE Requirements, to children with disabilities who are enrolled in private schools by their parents and to the protocols used to determine if a child has a specific learning disability, procedural safeguards (i.e. independent evaluations, notice, filing due process complaints, resolution process…) and to the discipline procedures utilized for students with disabilities. (see section on where do I get more information about the IDEIA). It is of particular importance to individuals working with court-involved youth who are placed in congregate care or institutions to note that the regulations allow the court to appoint surrogate parents for education purposes in certain situations. See 20 U.S.C. § 1401 (36) & 34 CFR § 300.45.

What are states required to do under IDEIA?

States must provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to all children aged 3 through 21 with disabilities who reside in the state, including children who have been suspended or expelled from school. A FAPE is special education and related services that: 1) are provided at public expense and under public supervision and direction; 2) meet the standards of the state educational agency; 3) include appropriate preschool, elementary, or secondary school education, and 4) conform with the child’s written Individualized Education Plan (IEP).

For infants and toddlers (birth to age 2), states must identify a state agency to provide special education and related services, and implement an Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) that focuses on the entire family of a child with a disability.

States must establish a goal of providing a full educational opportunity to all children with disabilities. This includes identifying all children with disabilities residing in the state, developing an IEP for each disabled child, educating children with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to the extent possible with children who are not disabled, enacting procedural safeguards for parents and maintaining confidentiality.

Who is a child with a disability?

Under IDEIA, a child with a disability is a child who by reason of one or more of the enumerated conditions, needs special education and related services. The enumerated conditions include: mental retardation, hearing impairments (including deafness), speech or language impairments, visual impairments (including blindness), serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments or specific learning disabilities.
Under IDEIA, “special education services” means specially designed instruction, at no cost to parents, to meet the unique needs of a child with a disability, including instruction conducted in the classroom, in the home, in hospitals and institutions and in other settings as well as instruction in physical education. Related services must be provided to children with disabilities who need them to benefit from special and other educational services. These related services may include transportation, or developmental, corrective, and other supportive services, including speech-language pathology and audiology services, interpreting services, psychological services, physical and occupational therapy, recreation, including therapeutic recreation, social work services, school nurse services, counseling services, including rehabilitation counseling, orientation and mobility services, and medical services, though medical services shall be for diagnostic and evaluation purposes only. Related services do not include surgically implanted medical devices.

Who is authorized to act as a parent?

The term “parent” includes: 1) a natural, adoptive or foster parent (unless state law prohibits foster parents from acting as parents); 2) a legal guardian (except where the child’s legal guardian is the state); 3) an individual acting in the place of a natural or adoptive parent (including but not limited to grandparent, stepparent or other relative) with whom the child is living; 4) a person who is legally responsible for the child’s welfare or 5) a surrogate parent appointed under the statute.

There are special rules for children who are “wards of the state.” A “ward of the state” is defined as a child who is in foster care or is in the custody of a public child welfare agency who does not have a foster parent who meets the definition of “parent” described above.

A “surrogate parent” is an individual who is assigned by the state or the court overseeing the child’s care to act as a surrogate for the parents. The individual assigned cannot be an employee of the state department of education, the local school district, or any other agency that is involved in the education or care of the child. Surrogate parents can be appointed when: 1) no parent can be located; 2) the child is a ward of the state and 3) the child is an unaccompanied homeless youth as defined by the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. A surrogate parent should be assigned within 30 days of the determination that a child needs one.

How is a child determined to be disabled for educational purposes?

Parents, school personnel, or personnel of other state or local agencies may request an initial evaluation to begin the process of identifying a child with a disability. Once the evaluation has occurred, the school holds a meeting of the child’s educational team to make a determination of eligibility for services.

In order to document that a request for an initial evaluation was made, it should be in writing. After receiving a request for initial evaluation, the local school district must obtain the consent of the parent for the child to be evaluated. Within the time frame established by the state, but no later than 60 days from the date the district receives consent from the parent, the child must be evaluated by a qualified team of professionals, including the child’s parents, to determine whether the child is disabled and, if so, to identify the specific needs of the child. (Note: check state law for shorter time frames for completing the evaluation and identification process.)

A school must try to obtain informed parental consent before evaluating a child for disabilities. Parental consent to an evaluation does not obligate the parent to agree to services or placement of the child in special education. If a parent refuses or fails to respond to a request for consent to an initial evaluation, the school district can file for a due process hearing in order to obtain consent from a hearing officer.
What is involved in the evaluation process?

The child is evaluated to provide the child’s educational team with sufficient information to identify whether the child is a child with a disability and if so, what services would allow the child to access the general curriculum. When evaluating a child, school districts must use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parents, and information related to enabling the child to progress in the regular curriculum. Evaluative techniques may not discriminate based on race or culture and must be administered in the language and form most likely to yield accurate information unless it is not feasible to do so. A child shall not be determined to be disabled if the determinant factor is limited English proficiency and lack of instruction in reading or math.

Generally, once the child is determined to be eligible for special education services, the child must be re-evaluated every three years. If the parents and the district agree, this three year requirement can be waived. In addition, the child can be re-evaluated if: 1) the child’s educational achievement or functional performance warrants it or 2) the child’s parent or teacher makes a request for re-evaluation. The child also must be evaluated before special education services can be terminated.

What if a parent disagrees with the results of his or her child’s evaluation?

If a parent or guardian disagrees with the results of an evaluation, he or she has a right to an Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE). Someone outside of the school system completes the evaluation. The parent should make the request for an IEE in writing. Within five days of the parent’s request, the school district either must agree to pay for the independent evaluation or show at an impartial due process hearing that its evaluation was appropriate.

What happens after a child is evaluated?

Within the time frame established by the state, but no later than 60 days from the date the district receives consent for evaluation from the parent, the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team must meet to discuss the evaluation results and determine whether the child is a “child with a disability” under the meaning of the IDEIA. Although a child may be diagnosed with a particular condition, if the child does not need special education or related services to access the general curriculum, the child will not qualify under the act.

The IEP Team for any properly held IEP meeting must include: the parents of the child, a special education teacher, a regular education teacher, a representative from the local educational facility who is knowledgeable about school district programs and resources, qualified individuals who can interpret the results of the evaluations, other qualified individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the child and the child, if appropriate. The presence of some of these individuals can be excused for all or part of a team meeting if a particular person’s input is not needed because the member’s area of curriculum is not being modified or discussed in the meeting. Even if the member’s area of curriculum is being discussed, that person may also be excused if the parent agrees, in writing, and the member submits input in writing to the parent and the team. The parent also may invite other individuals to the meeting including therapists, lawyers, advocates, and/or other supportive or professional individuals.

The team must determine whether: 1) the child meets the definition(s) of disability described by the IDEIA; 2) the child’s disability results in the child’s failure to make effective progress in the general curriculum; and 3) the child needs special education and related services to make such progress.

What happens after a child with a disability is found eligible for special education services?

Once a child is determined to be eligible for special education services, the IEP Team must create an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the child. (Please refer to state law for the time frames in which this must be completed.) When developing the IEP, the IEP Team must consider the strengths of the child, the concerns of the parents, the results of the initial or most recent evaluation of the child, and the academic, developmental, and functional needs of the child.
The team must also consider special factors in the following situations: 1) if the child’s behavior impedes the child’s learning or that of others, the team must consider the use of positive behavioral interventions, supports, and other strategies; 2) if the child is of limited English proficiency, the language needs of the child; 3) if the child is blind or visually impaired, the appropriateness of Braille instruction; 4) if the child is deaf or hard of hearing, the child’s language and communication needs including opportunities for direct instruction, and 5) the need for assistive technology devices and services.

**What is an Individualized Education Program?**

The IEP is a written statement that has specific information about the child including information about: the child’s current levels of achievement and functional performance; how the child’s disability affects the child’s involvement and progress in the general education curriculum; recent testing and evaluation results; measurable annual academic and functional goals so that the child can make progress in the general education curriculum; a description of how the child’s progress toward meeting the annual goals will be measured; the special education and related services that are to be provided; the date, location, frequency, and duration of services; accommodations necessary for standardized and district-wide testing and any other pertinent information.

Beginning at age 16, the IEP must contain appropriate measurable post-secondary goals based on age-appropriate transition assessments related to training, education, employment, and, where appropriate, independent living skills. The IEP also must contain and provide transition services, including courses of study, that the child will need to reach those goals.

A new IEP is drafted at least once a year, or more frequently, if necessary. The IEP should be modified when the needs of the child dictate or the child’s behaviors impede their educational progress, and the parent consents to the modification.

**How is a child’s special education placement determined?**

After writing an IEP that includes the services necessary for the child to access the general curriculum, the IEP team determines the setting in which the child will receive those services. The educational setting or placement must be capable of providing the services described in the IEP and must be in the least restrictive environment. School districts may only place disabled children in special classes or separate schools when the nature or severity of the disability makes it so that the disabled child cannot be educated in the regular classroom even with the use of supplementary aids and services. The IEP must include an explanation of why and the extent to which the child will not participate with non-disabled children in academic, non-academic, and extracurricular activities.

- Is a parent required to consent to evaluations, medication administration, eligibility determinations or IEP services and placement?
- Parents are not required to consent to evaluations, medication administration, eligibility determination, or particular IEP services or placement.
- Parents can accept some services and/or findings and reject others. A parent also can reject a team decision that determines that a child is ineligible for services or omits specific recommended services. Any services that a parent accepts should be implemented immediately.
- Districts cannot, as a condition of the child attending school, require parents to: 1) obtain and administer medication to their child; 2) consent to an evaluation of their child or 3) consent to special education and related services for their child.
- If a parent refuses to consent to special education and related services or if a parent fails to respond to a request to provide consent then the school district shall not be considered to be in violation of its responsibilities to provide the child with a free and appropriate public education.
What are the rights of a parent under IDEIA?
Parents of a child with a disability must be allowed to:

- Examine and have copies of their child’s school records
- Be notified in writing of and participate in IEP meetings
- Obtain independent evaluations and assessments of the child
- Consent to or reject changes in placement and services
- Have copies of all evaluations 48 hours prior to the eligibility meeting (requests for these evaluations should be in writing)
- File a complaint about any matter occurring within the last two years relating to the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of, or the provision of FAPE to their child
- Schools must provide prior written notice to parents, and in some cases, obtain parental consent (in their native language) in the following circumstances:
  - Before evaluating or assessing the child
  - Before changing a child’s identification as a child with or without a disability
  - Before changing or refusing to change a child’s placement
  - Before convening annual, emergency, and/or manifestation determination IEP meetings regarding the child
- The prior written notice must include:
  - a description of the district’s proposed or refused action(s)
  - an explanation of why the district proposes or refuses to take the action and a description of each evaluation, assessment, record and/or report that was used as a basis for the decision
  - a description of other options that the team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected
  - a description of factors relevant to the school district’s decision
  - a statement that the parents have due process rights, and the means by which the parent can obtain a copy of their rights
  - a list of sources for the parents to contact to obtain assistance in understanding their rights
- Parents must be given written notice of these procedural safeguards.
- States must create and pay for a clear and impartial process by which parents, students and schools can file complaints and requests for mediation for the resolution of disputes.

What can parents do if they feel their child is not receiving adequate educational services or an appropriate placement?
- Under IDEIA, parents of a disabled child must be given an opportunity for 1) mediation of their dispute with the school district and 2) an impartial due process hearing with a right to appeal.
- Parties to a hearing have a right: 1) to be accompanied and advised by counsel and by individuals with special knowledge or training as to children with disabilities; 2) to present evidence and confront, cross-examine, and compel the attendance of witnesses; 3) to receive an exact copy of the hearing; 4) to receive an exact copy of the findings and decision; 5) to appeal and 6) to bring a civil action in state or federal court.
- The cost of representation or assistance by attorney or other individual is at the expense of the parent or student; however, if the parents or student prevail after a hearing, the court is permitted to award attorneys’ fees.
- If a school district can prove that a parent or parent’s attorney filed a due process hearing request for an improper purpose, the parent or the attorney may be required to pay the attorney’s fees of the school district.
- Unless certain exceptions apply, a disabled child must remain or “stay put” in his or her educational program during the due process hearing or civil action. If the proceeding is brought in order to establish the child’s eligibility for special education services, the child must remain in the public school system until the proceedings are completed.
There are very strict timelines for filing and responding to a request for hearing. There also are very specific steps that the parties must file before they can have a full hearing in front of a hearing officer. We strongly advise that anyone who wants to file or has to respond to a request for hearing contact a lawyer who has experience in special education law. We have compiled a list of resources found at the back of this guide.

**During an appeal, what educational services will a child receive?**
- Generally, unless the district and the parent agree otherwise, the child will remain in his then current educational setting, or if the child is applying for initial admission to a public school, the child, with the consent of his or her parents, shall be placed in a public school setting.
- There are exceptions to this rule. When the appeal involves objection to a placement in the context of a disciplinary incident, a manifestation determination, or the dangerousness of a child, the child will remain in an interim alternative educational setting until the hearing officer renders a decision or 45 school days have passed, which ever occurs first. (See below for further discussion of discipline.)

**What happens when a disabled child misbehaves?**
Disciplinary procedures for disabled children differ from those for children without disabilities. Generally, a school cannot change a child’s placement without notice to and consent of a parent. However, school personnel may remove the child from school for disciplinary incidents in limited circumstances and for limited periods. School personnel may:
- Consider any unique circumstances of the child when determining whether to change a placement for a child with a disability
- Suspend the disabled student for violation of the school discipline code for no more than 10 days in a school year
- If the conduct that is a violation of the school discipline code is not determined to be a manifestation of the child’s disability, the child can be disciplined in the same way as non-disabled children would, except that the child must still receive a free and appropriate public education (a program with services that meet his educational goals in the least restrictive setting)

Within ten days of any decision to change a child’s placement (including by suspension) for more than 10 days in a school year, the school district, parent, and “relevant” members of the IEP team (as determined by the parent and district) shall meet and hold a manifestation determination meeting. At the meeting, the team shall review all relevant information in the student’s file, including the student’s IEP, teacher observations, and information provided by the parents to determine:
- If the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to the child’s disability
- If the conduct in question was a direct result of the local educational agency’s failure to implement the IEP

If either of the two items above is found to be true, then the student’s conduct is deemed to be a manifestation of his or her disability. In that case, the school shall conduct a functional behavioral assessment; implement a behavior plan; and, unless otherwise agreed upon by the parents and district, return the child to the placement from which s/he was removed.

---

1. The new federal regulations add language that states if the child’s behavior was a direct result of the LEA’s failure to implement the child’s IEP, the LEA must take immediate steps to remedy the situation. 34 CFR § 300.45.
Are there any situations in which a school can change a child’s placement without notice to or consent of the child’s parents?
Yes. School personnel may:

- Suspend the disabled student for violation of the school discipline code for no more than 10 days in a school year
- Remove a student to an interim alternative educational setting for not more than 45 school days if the child
  - Carries or possesses a weapon to or at school, on school premises, or at a school function; or knowingly
    possesses or uses illegal drugs, sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance while at school, on
    school premises, or at a school function; or
  - Has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a
    school function

If the school district believes that maintaining the child’s placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the
child or others, it may request a hearing before a hearing officer.

What services must a disabled child receive during the period s/he is removed from his or her current educational placement?
The child must continue to receive a Free and Appropriate Public Education. This means that the child shall:

- Continue to receive educational services described in his or her IEP so that the child will be able to continue to
  participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and make progress toward meeting the
  goals set out in the child’s IEP
- Receive a functional behavioral assessment, behavioral intervention services, and modifications that are designed
to address the conduct so that it does not recur

Can the parent appeal any disciplinary determinations?
Yes. Among other things, a parent may appeal the results of 1) the manifestation determination and 2) the
appropriateness of an interim alternative setting (i.e., its failure to provide FAPE and/or to meet the provisions
of the student’s IEP). During these appeals, the child must remain in the interim alternative setting for 45 school days
or until the hearing officer decides otherwise, whichever occurs first.

What if a child is not yet identified as a child with a disability; but it is suspected
that the child has a disability that has affected his or her performance or behavior?
Even after being subject to disciplinary action, a child may argue that he or she has a disability and is entitled to
protection under IDEIA. The child will be given IDEIA protection (and the right to a free and appropriate public
education) if the local educational agency knew or had reason to know that the child had a disability before the child
was involved in the alleged incident. Automatically, the law determines that the agency knew or should have known
that the child had a disability if, before the conduct occurred:

- The parent of the child wrote to the child’s teacher or to supervisory or administrative personnel of the school
district, expressing concern that the child was in need of special education and related services
- The child’s parent requested an evaluation of the child or
- The child’s teachers or other school personnel have expressed specific concerns about a pattern of behavior
demonstrated by the child, directly to the special education director or other supervisory school personnel
Exception—a school is not deemed to have known that the child is a child with a disability if:

- The parent of the child has refused to allow a child to be evaluated
- The parent of the child has refused special education services or
- The child was previously evaluated and it was determined that the child was ineligible for special education and related services

Where do I get more information about IDEIA?

- Families and Advocates Partnership for Education (FAPE), http://www.fape.org
- Kid Source, http://www.kidsource.com/kidsource/content3/ada.idea.html#Individuals
- Wrights Law (special education advocates), http://www.wrightslaw.com
- Contact your state department of education for information about the application of IDEIA to your state’s special education system
- For a summary of the new regulations: http://www.wrightslaw.com/idea/law/idea.regs.sumry.chngs.pdf and http://www.ode.states.oh.us/GD/Templates/Pages/ODE/ODEDetail.aspx?page3&TopicRelationID=1159&Content=21400 (Although written by the Ohio Department of Education it provides valuable information.)

Please note that state law may provide greater protections to children with disabilities.
The McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act

Note: In 2007 the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act is up for Congressional Reauthorization with Congressional hearings likely occurring in the winter months of 2007.

The McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, “McKinney-Vento,” is a federal law, reauthorized in 2001 under the No Child Left Behind Act. McKinney-Vento requires each state to ensure that each homeless child or child of a homeless individual has access to the same free public education as other children and youths, including public preschool programs. It also requires each state to revise all laws, regulations, practices or policies that may act as barriers to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youths.

McKinney-Vento is intended to guarantee homeless children and youth access to education and other services that will allow them the opportunity to meet the same state student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.

Who is covered by McKinney-Vento?

“Homeless children and youths” is defined at 42 U.S.C. §11434(a) as individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence. The term includes children and youths, ages 3 to 22, who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason; are living in motels, hotels, trailers, or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; are abandoned in hospitals; or are “awaiting foster care placement.” (For children in state custody check state rules for breadth of local definition.) The term “homeless children and youth” also includes children and youths who have a primary nighttime residence that is not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, as well as children and youths living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations, or similar settings. Migratory children and youths will also qualify if they live in any of the circumstances described above.

What are a student’s rights under McKinney-Vento?

Right to Choose School of Attendance

McKinney-Vento gives the child’s guardian (or in the case of an unaccompanied youth, the youth and his/her local educational agency liaison) the right to choose where the child should attend school. This can be either the “school of origin,” or any public school that other non-homeless students living in the same attendance area are eligible to attend. “School of origin” is the school in which the child or youth was last enrolled or the school that the child or youth was attending when s/he became homeless. It is important to note that the choice regarding placement can be made regardless of whether the child or youth lives with the homeless parents or has been temporarily placed elsewhere.

Right to Immediate Enrollment

McKinney-Vento requires a school to immediately enroll a homeless child in the school selected, even if the child is unable to produce records normally required for enrollment. This includes previous academic records, medical records, and proof of residency. If the child or youth needs to obtain immunizations or medical records, the school must immediately refer the parent or guardian to the local educational agency liaison for homeless children, who must assist in obtaining the necessary immunizations or records.

Right to Admission to School of Choice Pending Dispute Resolution

If the local educational agency sends the child or youth to a school other than the school of origin or a school requested by the guardian, the agency must provide a written statement to the parent or guardian, including a statement regarding the right to appeal. In the case of an unaccompanied youth, the homeless liaison must provide notice to such youth of the right to appeal. If a dispute arises over school selection or enrollment, the child or youth must be immediately admitted to the school of the youth’s or guardian’s choice until the dispute is resolved.
What happens when a homeless child moves to a permanent home?
A child who moves to a permanent home during a school year has the right to remain at his or her current school for the remainder of the academic year. The child’s guardian has the right to make the decision. If the parent or guardian decides to keep the child in the school s/he was attending while homeless and that school is not within the boundaries of the school district where the child is permanently housed, the child has a right to appropriate transportation paid for by the school district(s).

What if transportation is a problem?
McKinney-Vento requires the state and its local educational agencies to provide transportation to and from the school of origin. This is the case even if the child or youth begins living in an area served by another local educational agency. Homeless children are also entitled to the same transportation services offered to other students in the school.

What services are available to homeless youth?
Homeless children are entitled to the same services offered to other students in the school, including educational programs for children with disabilities, educational programs for children with limited English proficiency, programs in vocational or technical education, programs for gifted or talented students, and school nutrition programs.

Who do I contact if a homeless child is being treated unfairly?
If you know a child or youth who is being treated unfairly, please contact your state’s Office of the Coordinator for Education for Homeless Children and Youth or go to: http://www.ed.gov/programs/homeless/contacts.html/.

Where can I get more information about McKinney-Vento?
- The National Association for the Education of Homeless Children & Youth website, http://www.naehcy.org/

If you do not have internet access, call your state’s department of education or your local legal aid program.
Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act

The Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 2301, et. seq. (2006) ("Perkins Act"), is a federal law that provides funding to states and local school boards to create career and technical education programs for high school students. The law also provides funding for Tech-Prep programs that help in a student’s transition from high school to post-secondary education and then to the workforce. Through this law, Congress hopes to help students who enroll in these programs develop the academic, career and technical skills needed to succeed in high skill, high wage and high demand occupations.

The Perkins Act also creates an accountability system to ensure that students enrolled in career and technical education programs are actually learning. The accountability system is directly tied to student performance in these programs. State funding is dependant on students’ ability to develop skills, graduate from high school, graduate from certificate programs, pursue post-secondary education, and ultimately secure high paying jobs.

What is career and technical education?

Career and technical education is defined at 20 U.S.C. § 2302 (2006). A career and technical education (CTE) program is a program that offers a sequence of courses designed to help students learn skills that will prepare them for careers in current or emerging businesses. It must also include applied learning and teaching techniques that contribute to the student’s success in the workplace. States offer courses in computer repair, professional photography, practical nursing, cosmetology, air conditioning and refrigeration and many other professions including professions that may require a college or graduate degree. CTE programs provide technical skill proficiency, an industry recognized credential, a certificate, or an associate degree.

What programs are funded by the Perkins Act?

The Perkins Act funds two types of programs. First, the Perkins Act funds traditional career and technical education programs through the Basic State Grant. These programs must integrate academics with career and technical education through a sequence of courses that strengthen and develop academic and career skills. Each state must submit to the Secretary of Education for approval local plans for career and technical education programs. A new provision of the Act requires participation of private school personnel in professional development and on request, participation of students in non-profit schools in the career Tech Education program.

Second, the Perkins Act funds “Tech-Prep” programs through a separate funding stream to the state, or if requested, through combined funding with the Basic State Grant. Tech-Prep programs are designed to provide preparation in career fields including engineering technology, applied science, a practical art or trade, agriculture, health occupations, business or applied economics while building a student’s skills in math, science, reading and writing in a contextual learning environment. State-created Tech-Prep programs link a student’s high school education with a two-year apprenticeship program or course work at a local community college or other institution of higher learning. This program helps career and technical students earn their associate’s or bachelor’s degree. Tech-Prep programs connect a two-year secondary school program with a two-year, and sometimes four-year, postsecondary school program that helps students learn about all aspects of a particular industry.

The Perkins Act also provides funding both to train teachers in effective and efficient career and technical education teaching techniques and to help teachers integrate new technology in the classroom. Perkins funds can be used to pay for industry certification for students under the Perkins accountability system.

---

The Carl D. Perkins Vocational Education Act of 1998 was amended by the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act (Public Law 109-270) which was signed by President Bush in August, 2006. For further information, consult the Department of Education website at www.ED.gov, and the Association for Career and Technical Education website at www.acteonline.org.
Are there ways for parents to get involved?
In order to qualify for federal funding, each state must submit a 6 year plan after receiving input from parents, students, teachers, representatives of business and industry, and labor organizations on the development, implementation and evaluation of career and technical education programs. Local school districts must also present plans concerning how to keep parents informed and aware of the requirements of the Perkins Act.

Additionally, the Perkins Act is designed to help parents and students take an active role in career planning. The only way a state can qualify for Perkins Act funding is to provide to parents information and planning resources that relate to student career goals and expectations. The Perkins Act also requires that the state formulate a program to help educate teachers, administrators and counselors in ways to help parents and students explore careers, education opportunities, and ways to pay for schooling beyond high school.

Where can I get more information about career and technical education programs funded by the Perkins Act?

- Contact your local school board for a listing of career and technical education courses offered by high schools and community colleges in your area as well as to find out what specific programs they have developed to help parents take a more active role in a student’s education and vocational career planning.
- Contact the Association for Career and Technical Education for information about upcoming legislation and details of programs conducted around the country on the internet at http://www.acteonline.org/index.cfm or by phone at 800-826-9972.
School Discipline

What are zero tolerance policies?

In recent years many public school districts have implemented “zero tolerance” discipline policies to discourage students from both committing violent acts in school and using or distributing drugs and alcohol on school property. Despite these goals, the policies apply to a wide variety of rule violations including school disruption of all kinds, smoking violations, and even conduct that occurs off school grounds. Typically zero tolerance policies are school or district wide and mandate predetermined harsh punishments including long-term suspension and expulsion regardless of extenuating circumstances or the students’ previous record of conduct. The effect of these mandates is that school administrators retain little flexibility to impose alternate punishment or punishment on a “case-by-case” basis. As a result, even relatively minor infractions can lead to suspensions or expulsions.

Zero tolerance policies, as they currently are implemented, are often ineffective. There has been little to no evidence to suggest that they contribute to school safety; rather, evidence has shown that these policies have a negative effect on students, including increasing school drop out rates and disproportionately affecting minority students. In addition, between 30% and 40% of suspended students are repeat offenders, a fact that suggests that zero tolerance policies fail to deter negative student behavior as intended.

Although administrators, policymakers, courts and advocates all agree that establishment and maintenance of student safety in school is essential for the creation of a productive learning environment, many students who are suspended or expelled as a result of zero tolerance policies do not pose an ongoing or serious threat to school safety. The lack of flexibility inherent in zero tolerance policies has led some students, who do not have a prior history of disciplinary incidents or who might otherwise be considered “good students,” to be removed from school. Seemingly minor infractions, such as bringing nail files, paper clips and inhalers to school, have resulted in expulsion or suspension, and have tarnished the student’s permanent record. In addition, if a student commits an offense on school property, school officials may have the obligation to report the student’s offense to the appropriate law enforcement authorities. For example, some states require that students be arrested for assault including minor schoolyard scuffles. Students who fight on the playground can later be charged with juvenile delinquency, leading to detention and other serious consequences.

Special education students also can be negatively affected by zero tolerance policies. Just as they affect non-disabled students, zero tolerance policies that require long-term suspension or expulsion displace special education students from their school placements and limit their access to the services they need during the disciplinary period. Repeated and/or long-term school interruptions aggravate the problems that special education students experience and can increase the chances that they will not complete high school.

What rights do students have when facing discipline?

All students who face expulsion or suspension from school, even for a period of less than 10 days, have a right to a hearing in front of an impartial tribunal or hearer. The processes that are used for disciplinary hearings range from informal meetings with the principal to formal hearings. The level of due process provided to a student depends on the degree of misconduct involved and seriousness of the punishment that may be imposed. When a student faces short-term suspension, only rudimentary due process is required while long-term suspensions or expulsions require more formal procedures.

---

4 Fast Facts About Zero Tolerance, Phi Delta Kappa International, Russell J. Skiba
5 Harvard University Civil Rights Project http://www.civilrightsproject.harvard.edu/resources/civilrights_brief/discipline.php
6 Fast Facts About Zero Tolerance, Phi Delta Kappa International, Russell J. Skiba
Even if the student is subject only to a short-term suspension, administrators at the school must provide the student with each of the following:

- notice of the charges pending against them (this notice is often oral for short-term suspensions)
- basis for the accusations, including an explanation of the evidence authorities have against him/her
- opportunity for the student to tell his/her side of the story

For short-term suspensions, these three things often happen informally, immediately after the incident. Parents should be notified in writing that their child is being suspended, even if for a short period. If parents have not received such notice, the parent should request it.

**What rights do students have when facing long-term suspension or expulsion?**

If a student faces major disciplinary sanctions, including long-term suspension or expulsion, school officials must act fairly and give the student an opportunity to be heard at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner. While there are no hard and fast rules governing the process that should be used for students facing disciplinary action, the following rules have been adopted by many courts for long-term suspensions and expulsions:

*A Written Notice of Charges*

The principal must give the student a written explanation of why the student is in trouble. This includes a notice of the exact provision of law or school discipline code that the school believes the student violated. The notice must be in the primary language of the student’s parent or guardian and should be provided reasonably in advance of the hearing so that the student can prepare.

*A Written Notice of the Hearing*

The principal must give the student a letter stating the time, date and place of the hearing. This notice also must be in the primary language of the student’s parent or guardian and it must be given to the student prior to the hearing date.

*The Right to Bring a Representative*

A student can have a lawyer or advocate present during the hearing. If the student or his family is trying to locate an attorney to help work on the case, the student can ask for the hearing to be postponed until a later date.

*The Right to Bring Witnesses and Evidence*

An accused student has the right to have people attend the hearing who can help the student’s case or bring evidence to prove the student’s case.

*Access*

A student should be allowed to look at the school’s evidence against them and at their own school record. The student should make a written request for all of the evidence that the school intends to present and a list of all the witnesses that the school intends to call against the student. Sometimes schools have rules against calling other students as witnesses for fear that the charged student may retaliate.

*The Right to an Impartial Decision-Maker*

The student has a right to have his or her case judged by someone who is impartial. The law allows the principal to make the decision, but if the student is charged with assaulting the principal, or if the principal is a witness against the student, the student should ask for another person to substitute for the principal.

*The Right to a Record of the Hearing*

The student has a right to have the hearing tape recorded or recorded in some other way. Always ask for a copy of the tape.
A Written Decision
A student should get a copy of the principal’s decision to suspend or expel the student, explaining why the decision was made. This written notice should be in the student’s native language. The notice of suspension or expulsion should indicate how long the student must stay out of school and should have an explanation of the student’s right to appeal the decision.

Right to Appeal to the Superintendent or School Committee
Depending on the offense, the student has the right to appeal the decision of the principal to the district’s superintendent or school committee. The student has an appeal period by which s/he must inform the district if s/he wants to appeal (this period is defined by state law). Then, another hearing about the matter should be scheduled and held. The student has the same rights at the superintendent’s hearing as he or she has at the principal’s hearing. The superintendent may consider other suspensions and disciplinary incidents that the student may have had.

Note: Anything the student says or writes at any hearing can be used against him or her at a later criminal or juvenile delinquency trial. If the student has been or may be charged with a crime because of the conduct, the child should not make any statements about the incident.

If a student is facing an expulsion hearing, or other formal hearing, we highly recommend that the student try to locate an attorney or advocate to be present at the hearing.

What rights of appeal does a student have?
Generally, a parent who disagrees with the punishment imposed on his or her child can appeal decisions to the school board, superintendent, or school committee depending on state and local law and rules. Usually there is a limited period during which the appeal can be requested. The written findings and decision imposing punishment should also contain a statement notifying parents of their right to appeal including the length of the appeal period and to whom the appeal should be directed. Note also, that these notifications should be translated into the parent’s native language. If a parent believes that the discipline imposed or process used was unfair, the parent should request an appeal regardless of whether their child has already served the suspension or expulsion. If a decision is not appealed, the disciplinary action will remain on the student’s record. If the parent believes the discipline is unfair, but is seeking legal representation, the parent should file any appeal before the deadline to ensure that the right to appeal is not lost.

Always check with the local district for policies that apply at the student’s school. These policies usually are listed in the school’s discipline code and/or student handbook. If a final decision is issued, then students may still seek relief in a court through a temporary or permanent injunction.

What if a student has been disciplined based on racial factors?
If a student has been unfairly disciplined because of racial factors, parents can challenge the action based on the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Parents or students may file a complaint with the Office for Civil Rights of the U.S. Department of Education. These complaints must be based on the student either receiving different treatment because of his/her race or being subject to a disciplinary action which impacts one race more than another race. (See Action Kit: Zero Tolerance and School Discipline). Complaints must be filed within 180 days of the disciplinary action. To file a complaint, contact the Office for Civil Rights at:

Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
Office of Civil Rights – Customer Service Team
550 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202-1100
Tel: 800-421-3481
TDD: 877-521-2172
Fax: 202-245-6840
http://wdcrbcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OCR/contactus.cfm
Are procedures different for students with special needs?

Yes. The educational rights of students with special needs are contained in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA) and Section 504 the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Under IDEIA, students with disabilities are guaranteed the right to a “free and appropriate public education” that will meet their special needs regardless of their conduct. (See 34 C.F.R. 300.1) Therefore, even if a special needs student is disciplined, the student must still be provided with an education that meets his or her individual needs.

For more information on disciplinary protections of students with special needs, please refer to the section of this guide on the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004.

Resources on school discipline

- Your Right to Fair Treatment, American Civil Liberties Union Fact Sheet, at http://www.aclu.org/StudentsRights/StudentsRights.cfm?ID=13148&c=158
- Zero Tolerance: Resisting the Drive for Punishment in Our Schools (William Ayers et al. eds., The New Press, 2001)
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act, Pub. L. No. 101-476; 34 C.F.R. 300; revision from 71 F.R. 46540 effective October 1, 2006.
- Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. §794 (1973)
Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504) is a federal law enacted to protect qualified individuals from discrimination based on a disability. The law prohibits employers and organizations that receive financial assistance from the federal government from excluding individuals from or denying them access to the program based on disability:

No otherwise qualified handicapped individual in the United States . . . shall, solely by reason of her or his disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any program, service or activity receiving federal financial assistance or under any program or activity conducted by any executive agency or by the United States Postal service.

Who enforces this law?

Each federal agency has its own set of Section 504 regulation that applies to its programs. If an agency distributes federal funds, the entities that receive this aid must also comply with Section 504 regulations. For educational matters, complaints should be filed with the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights. OCR will investigate whether the complaints are legitimate.

Although each federal agency is responsible for enforcing its own regulations, Section 504 may also be enforced through private lawsuits.

Who is protected by this law?

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is a national law that protects qualified individuals with disabilities from discrimination based on their disability. The Section 504 definition of an individual with a disability is broader than the definition found under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act.

An “individual with a disability” is any person who:
- has a physical or mental impairment which substantially limits one or more of such person’s major life activities
- has a record of such a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activity
- is regarded as having such a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activity

In addition to the above definition, “otherwise qualified individual with a disability,” for purposes of receiving services, education or training, are persons who satisfy all other normal and essential eligibility requirements of the program, service or activity. More specifically, for purposes of public preschool, elementary and secondary school services, programs and activities, an “otherwise qualified individual with a disability” protected by Section 504 is one who is: 1) of any age during which non-handicapped persons are provided with such services; 2) of any age during which it is mandatory under state law to provide such services to handicapped persons or 3) someone IDEIA requires the state to provide with a free appropriate education [34 C.F.R. §104.3(l)(2)].

What is a “physical or mental impairment” under Section 504?

The term “physical or mental impairment” may include, but is not limited to the following examples:

- Blindness or visual impairments
- Cerebral palsy
- Chronic illnesses, such as:
  - arthritis
  - cancer
  - cardiac diseases
  - diabetes
- multiple sclerosis
- muscular dystrophy
- psychiatric disorders

- HIV or AIDS
- Deafness or hearing impairments
- Drug or alcohol addiction (Section 504 covers former users and those in recovery programs not currently using drugs or alcohol. Section 504 does not protect persons currently addicted to or using drugs illegally.)
- Epilepsy or seizure disorders
- Mental retardation or mental illness
- Orthopedic handicap or other mobility impairment
- Specific learning disability
- Speech disorder
- Spinal cord or traumatic brain injury

Please refer to Department of Education regulations for definitions specifically applicable to school children.

**What are major life activities under Section 504?**

The term “**major life activity**” may include, but is not limited to, self-care, walking, seeing, hearing, walking, breathing, performing manual tasks, caring for one’s self, learning, speaking, writing, reading or working.

**What does “substantially limit” mean under Section 504?**

Section 504 does not explicitly define the term “substantially limits . . . a major life activity.” The Office for Civil Rights has ruled that the phrase “substantially limits” is to be defined by the school district. (Letter to McKethan. 23 IDELR 504 [OCR 1994]).

One guide is the **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990** which offers the following definitions:

A major life activity is substantially limited if a person is “unable to perform a major life activity that the average person in the general population can perform” [29 C.F.R. 1630.2(j)(1)(I)].

OR

A major life activity is substantially limited if a person is “significantly restricted in the condition, manner or duration under which an individual can perform a particular major life activity as compared to the condition, manner, or duration under which the average person in the general population can perform that major life activity” [29 C.F.R. 1630.2(j)(1)(ii)].

In public education, a student’s mental or physical impairment “substantially limits” the major life activity (of learning, writing, reading, etc.), can be determined by comparing the student’s academic progress to that of an “average child” of comparable age, not a child of similar intellectual potential. A student who is simply not achieving his or her potential is not “substantially limited.”

**What are children’s rights under this law?**

The following sections provide general information about children’s rights in education as determined by regulations of USDOE pursuant to Section 504.
Generally
A child has the right to participate in any program, service or activity that receives federal assistance. The child cannot be required either to accept different or lesser programs or services than what others receive or to participate in separate programs or services, unless such programs or services are comparable and necessary to afford equal access and opportunity.7

Free and Appropriate Education
Section 504 regulations require public school systems to provide children with disabilities with a free and appropriate education. 34 C.F.R. 104.33(a). An “appropriate education” is one comparable to that provided to students without disabilities and may include regular or special education and related aids and services. See 34 C.F.R. § 104.33(b)(2). Services developed and implemented under the IDEIA will usually satisfy Section 504. See 34 C.F.R. § 104.33(b)(2). A “free education” means that services provided under the Act must be at public expense, without any cost to the child, parents or guardians. 34 C.F.R. 104.33(c). This rule prohibits school district use of social security benefits and/or health insurance to pay for education costs and services if such use may impose financial loss upon the parent or child as determined in Shook v. Gatson County Board of Education, 882 F.2d 119 (4th Cir. 1989).

Enforcement
The Office for Civil Rights of the U.S. Department of Education (USDOE) enforces Section 504 in programs and activities that receive federal financial assistance. Under Section 504, students with disabilities must not be assigned to segregated classes, separate facilities or courses of special education unless such placement is necessary to provide equal education opportunity to them. Disabled students must be educated with non-disabled students to the maximum extent consistent with the needs of the disabled student. See section 34 C.F.R. 104.34(a). If the school district recommends placing a child in a setting other than the regular classroom so that the child can receive educational benefit (i.e. for meaningful progress on appropriate goals and objectives), the district must first demonstrate that it provided support services and aids to assist the child in the regular classroom and that such efforts have failed. See id. If a child is placed in a separate facility, that facility must provide comparable programs and services. 34 C.F.R. § 104.33(b). The home school district remains responsible for providing a free and appropriate education and must provide transportation, if necessary, at no greater cost than would be incurred if the student were placed in the home district.

Children with current limiting physical or mental disabilities must be evaluated consistent with parental notice requirements. Section 504 requires that evaluation information be obtained from a variety of sources, that all information be documented and considered, and that evaluation and placement decisions be determined by a consensus of a group of persons knowledgeable about the student, evaluation data, services and placement options. If the child is eligible for Section 504 services, an accommodation plan must be developed and implemented.

Children without current mental or physical disability who qualify under Section 504 based on a “record” of disability or because they are “regarded” as having a disability are not entitled to evaluation for special services and placement, but are protected from discrimination.

If a child does not require special education services under IDEIA, is the child still eligible for Section 504 services?
Yes, if the child qualifies under Section 504, he or she may receive services. For example, the child may receive adjustments or learning aids in the regular classroom.

7 Note: Section 504 does not require special education programming for students with disabilities but does require institutions to make appropriate academic adjustments and reasonable modifications to policies and practices to allow for full participation of students with disabilities.
Do parents have rights if they do not agree with the identification, evaluation or placement of a child under Section 504?
Yes. Section 504 requires local education agencies to afford parents an impartial hearing in which they may participate and be represented by counsel.

Does Section 504 provide protection in nonacademic services and activities?
Yes. Section 504 prohibits discrimination against qualified students with disabilities on the basis of their disabilities in non-academic services and activities, including but not limited to recreational activities, health services, transportation, school sponsored clubs, counseling services, student employment and agency referrals for assistance to disabled persons.

Who may file a complaint?
Any individual who believes that he or she (or his or her child) has been discriminated against on the basis of disability by a person or entity that receives federal funds, a representative of such an individual or entity, a member of a class of persons so situated, or the authorized representative of a member of that class, may file a complaint. Complaints must be filed within 180 days of the alleged discrimination although this may be extended for “good cause.”

Who do I contact for information about filing a complaint under Section 504?
- http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/complaintprocess.html

Who do I contact for general disability rights information?
ADA Information Line
Tel: 800-514-0301
TTY: 800-514-0383
http://www.ada.gov

Where do I go to get more information about Section 504?
The John H. Chafee Foster Care Independence Act

The John H. Chafee Foster Care Independence Act of 1999 (Chafee Act) is a federal law that offers financial assistance to states for the creation of programs for young adults who are transitioning out of foster care into independent living. The Chafee Act provides funding for additional education or training, housing assistance and counseling services for these young adults. What is available in each state depends on that state’s priorities and plan for its Chafee funds. Check the state plan for the specific services available to adolescents in foster care who are approaching age 18 and for young adults who have emancipated from the foster care system.

What are the general purposes of the Act?

The Chafee Act sets forth five types of programs that states may implement with Federal funds.

1. Programs to identify children who are likely to remain in foster care until 18 years of age and to help them transition to living independently by providing services such as:
   - Assistance in obtaining a high school diploma.
   - Career training in daily living skills, budgeting and financial management.
   - Substance abuse prevention and preventative health activities including smoking avoidance, nutrition education and pregnancy prevention.

2. Programs to help children who are likely to remain in foster care until 18 years of age receive the education, training and services necessary to obtain employment.

3. Programs to help children who are likely to remain in foster care until 18 years of age prepare for and enter postsecondary training and educational institutions such as universities, colleges, technical schools and vocational schools.

4. Programs to provide personal and emotional support to children aging out of foster care, through mentors and the promotion of interactions with dedicated adults.

5. Programs to provide support and services to former foster children between 18 and 21 years of age in areas such as finance, housing, counseling, employment and education, in order to ensure that they recognize and accept their personal responsibility for preparing for and making the transition from adolescence to adulthood.

Who is eligible to receive services under the Act?

The Chafee Act defines those eligible for receiving independent living services, other than room and board, as those children “likely to remain in foster care until age 18” and “children aging out of foster care.” It is up to each state to determine how it defines those terms, but eligibility is determined regardless of whether or not a child is eligible for the Title IV-E Foster Care Program. To be eligible for room and board under the Act, a young person must “have left foster care because [s/he has] attained 18 years of age, and … [must] not [have] attain[ed] 21 years of age.” This includes young people who have gone straight from foster care into independent living programs, as well as those who have lost touch with the agency but return before the age of 21 for assistance.

Eligibility requirements for education and training and other Chafee services vary by state and by program. Please refer to each state plan for specifics. Please see below to obtain state by state information.

What are the requirements for a state to receive funding under this Act?

To receive funding, a state must submit a five-year plan that meets specific requirements of the Act, including how it will implement the plan and cooperate in evaluations of the effects of the Act in achieving the purposes outlined above.
Where can I go for more information about this Act?

All states have detailed plans and specific programs for children who are aging out of foster care and a point person assigned to coordinate programs.

- If you do not have access to the internet, please contact the National Child Welfare Resource Center for Youth Development, at 918-660-3700 and ask to speak with one of the program specialists about Chafee, or contact the National Foster Care Coalition at 202-454-5608.
Education in the Juvenile Justice System

Youth entitlements

Although juvenile crime has declined, the number of incarcerated juveniles has soared. Consequently, growing numbers of juveniles are spending critical developmental years in institutional settings where education is not a priority. Studies indicate that incarcerated juveniles routinely perform below grade level and have past histories of school suspension and truancy. In addition, 30% to 50% require special education services. If the educational needs of this population are not met, they are at high risk for dropping out of school and returning to the justice system.

Though considerable variation exists among states, each has education statutes and regulations that specify the length of the school day and year, the minimal range and types of course offerings, and the number of courses required for graduation. In the juvenile correctional setting, these standards are administered by organizations such as the juvenile corrections agency, the state department of education or a local school district. Despite state and local entitlements for school-age youth, education programs in juvenile correctional facilities are typically under-funded and under-resourced. However, effective educational programs in correctional facilities should provide a comprehensive range of options for incarcerated youth, including:

- Academic courses consistent with school curriculum to ensure credits for students who are likely to return to public schools or earn a diploma while in the correctional system
- General Educational Development (GED) services for students who are unlikely to return to public schools
- Pre-vocational and vocational education and access to employment opportunities in the community
- State and federally mandated special education services

Youth with disabilities*

Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), eligible youth under the age of 21 in state-operated programs, such as juvenile correctional facilities, who require special education services are afforded the same rights as youth in public schools. Youth with disabilities also may be entitled to educational services under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

In order to effectively meet the educational needs of incarcerated youth, the following should occur:

- The correctional facility should obtain prior school records for their students, including grades and test scores. If the home school district fails to send the records in a timely fashion, a parent can obtain a copy and send it directly to the correctional facility.
- Parents who suspect that their child has a disability can request, in writing, an evaluation from the correctional facility. The correctional facility, in concert with the local school district, is obliged to consider

---

9 Id.
10 See Juvenile Correctional Education Programs, at http://www.edjj.org/focus/education (last visited July 19,2006) [hereinafter Education].
11 Id.
12 Id.
13 Id.
15 Education, supra note 8.
17 Id.
Parents of youth with disabilities have the right to participate in decisions about their student’s education. In particular, parents should be involved in developing their incarcerated child’s Individualized Education Program (IEP) under the IDEIA or “504 plan” when the child is transferred to the correctional facility. It is also advisable that a representative from the child’s home school district take part in the IEP meetings. If attendance at developmental meetings is not possible, parents or IEP representatives should consider telephone conferencing.

If a youth’s parent (as defined in 34 C.F.R. § 300.20) cannot be located, or if the youth is a ward of the state (as defined by law), or if the youth is over 18 but cannot advocate for his or her rights because of a disability, the youth is legally entitled to have a surrogate parent act on his or her behalf during educational planning. A surrogate parent assumes the rights of the parents’ with respect to their child’s special education needs. Though the surrogate parent may not be an employee of any agency that may have a conflict of interest with the provision of special education services, case managers, probation officers, social workers, counselors or other corrections staff may be of assistance in educational planning.

Licensed personnel, including teachers, psychologists, social workers or mental health professionals should provide special education services.

Family members are entitled to educational progress reports. Under IDEIA, if the incarcerated youth is not receiving appropriate special education and related services, the parents are afforded the same mediation and due process rights as parents of children who attend public schools. If a due process hearing is requested, the mediation process must be scheduled in a timely manner, be held in a place that is convenient to all parties involved, and be conducted by a nonbiased, trained mediator. Furthermore, any agreement reached must be put into writing.

A youth over the age of 14 must have a transition plan included as part of their IEP. The transition plan includes skills and services that the youth might need once they leave the delinquency system including: assistance in returning to high school, life and social skills, job-seeking and vocational training. Youth should also participate in aftercare planning before returning to the community. Aftercare plans include

---

18 Id.
20 Garfinkel, supra note 14.
21 Id.
22 Id.
23 Burrell and Warboys, supra note 17 at 4.
25 Id.
26 Garfinkel, supra note 14.
27 Unique Challenges, supra note 22.
28 Burrell and Warboys, supra note 17 at 5 (detailing youths’ rights under IDEA, including information on due process hearings).
29 Burrell and Warboys, supra note 17 at 5.
30 Id.
31 Garfinkel, supra note 14.
32 Unique Challenges, supra note 22.
instruction on what the youth must do to stay out of trouble including: drug counseling, meetings with
probation officers and academic goals.33

*For more information on disciplinary protections of students with special needs who are involved with the
juvenile justice system please refer to the section of this guide on the Individuals with Education Improvement
Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

Litigation
Correctional facilities have been slow to respond to the requirements of IDEIA, ADA, Section 504 and other
applicable laws.34 Although all state-operated programs are required to provide special education services as a
condition of receiving federal funds, the U.S. Department of Education has never withheld funds from states that
failed to provide adequate special education programs in juvenile correctional facilities.35 Thus, advocates have
initiated more than 20 class action suits as a means to secure appropriate educational services for incarcerated youths
with disabilities.36 With a few exceptions, most cases settled two to seven years after the initial complaint.37
Although class action litigation is a lengthy and expensive process, advocates hope these suits will draw attention to
and challenge the quality and availability of education for all youth in the correctional setting.38

For additional information see:
- Child Welfare League of America, http://www.cwla.org/ (including information pertaining to
  juvenile justice).
- Correctional Education Association, http://www.ceanational.org/ (Select "Resources" on the left for links for
  educators and incarcerated youth).
- Robert J. Gemignani, Juvenile Correctional Education: A Time for Change, Juvenile Justice Bulletin
  federal laws relating to special education and listing examples of effective educational practices in a juvenile
  correction setting).
- IDEA Practices, http://www.idealpractice.org/ (providing the text and an overview of IDEIA, including a list
  of legal cases that implicate the law).
- Sheri Meisel et al., Collaborate to Educate: Special Education in Juvenile Correctional Facilities,
  http://www.edjj.org/Publications/pub01_17_00.html (last visited July 29, 2006) (discussing federal
  regulations of special education and recommendations for effective implementation).
  articles pertaining to juvenile delinquency).
- National Juvenile Detention Association, http://www.njda.com/ (providing resources for administrator,
- Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.org (including publications
  directed at counsel and the public at large).
- Parent Advocacy Coalition for Educational Rights (PACER), http://www.pacer.org/ (providing resources
  and articles relating to education and disabilities among juveniles,

33 Garfinkel, supra note 14.
34 Education, supra note 8.
35 Leone and Meisel, supra note 6.
36 Id.
37 Id. See Table 1.
38 Id.

Resources on education and juvenile justice
- 34 C.F.R. 300.1 et seq. (1999).
- 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.
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Practice Tips

- Get complete educational file for each of your children. Review and understand the file.
- Make educational stability and success a priority when identifying a placement for a child, and make sure that the court makes it a priority as well.
- Make sure you know who the “parent” is—if you have any say, get it to be the foster or biological, rather than a surrogate parent.
- Put concerns, confirmation of meetings, agreements, etc. in writing—make that record!
- Prepare for education meetings with child and parent, and include the child whenever appropriate—the more there is a unified front and consensus on educational objectives the more successful the meeting and the plan will be.
- Encourage the parent not to agree, or at least to withhold judgment, on adverse eligibility, manifestation, IEP or placement determinations—in other words just say NO!
- Ask parent to ask school, in writing, to include you at meetings (they have the right to bring someone with them). Make sure that others who have valuable information attend as well.
- Give schools as much advance notice as possible when child is transferring from a residential or correctional placement; doing so avoids enrollment delay.
- Make sure to respond immediately when children are facing disciplinary matters—do not miss appeal deadlines and risk the loss of placement.
- Give schools a chance, and the information necessary, to do the right thing before getting confrontational or assuming the worst.
- Call local legal aid, education law, protection and advocacy and children’s law center programs for help.
In School, The Right School, Finish School

10 Questions …

IN SCHOOL
1. Is the child enrolled in school?
2. Is the child attending school?
3. How many schools has the child attended?
4. Can the child remain in his/her home school?
5. Is the child’s living arrangement permanent?
6. Has the child been expelled or suspended from school?
7. Who has discussed the educational plan with the child and what does the child want?
8. Does the child feel safe in the school?
9. How does the child get to school?
10. Who at the school does the child trust?

RIGHT SCHOOL
1. How is the child performing academically, socially, and emotionally?
2. Has the child been observed, assessed or identified as needing special services at any point?
3. Is there a significant discrepancy between the child’s age and child’s achievement level?
4. Does the child have an appropriate IEP or a Section 504 plan that is being followed and is up to date?
5. For every proposed school, what is the state’s assessment (teacher qualifications, graduation rates, class size, No Child Left Behind, etc.)?
6. Who has discussed the plans with the child and what does the child want?
7. To what people or activities is the child significantly connected in the current school?
8. What services does the child need to succeed and does the child’s school and/or home placement have these services?
9. Is the child in the least restrictive environment?
10. Is the child accruing credits toward high school graduation and college admission?

FINISH SCHOOL
1. What are the child’s strengths and interests and how can these be enhanced?
2. What is the future educational and/or vocational plan for the child?
3. What classes does the child need to achieve his/her educational and vocational goals?
4. If the child is 14 or older and has an IEP, what transition planning has occurred?
5. What is the child’s plan for independent living and who has discussed this plan with the child?
6. Where will this child live in six months, one or two years, to allow him/her to finish school?
7. Will the child need transitional housing?
8. How will this child access health benefits and medical care?
9. What family and community resources are available and appropriate for the child?
10. What other services or resources does the child need? How long will the child need these services or resources?
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In school: school stability


2. For a reference on the detrimental effects of moving children from one foster placement to another, see Lois Weinberg et al. (May, 2003), *Improving Educational Prospects for Foster Youth*, where the authors cite research indicating that highly mobile children often miss large portions of the school year, lose academic credit due to moves mid-semester, and have incomplete education records due to missing transcripts, assessments and attendance data (citing J. Eckenrode, M. Land and J. Brathwaite, *Mobility as a Mediator of the Effects of Child Maltreatment on Academic Performance*, 66 Child Development, 1130, 1130-42 (1995); California children in foster care attend an average of nine different schools by age 18. (citing Kathleen Kelly, *The Education Crisis for Children in the California Juvenile Court System*, 27 Hastings Const. L.Q. 757, 757-73 (2000)).

3. Foster children will attend an average of six different schools from K-12; 60% to 70% of those students will not graduate from high school. TeamChild and Casey Family Programs, *Critical Questions and Strategies for Meeting the Education Needs of Children and Youth in Juvenile and Family Court*, Overview, December 2002. This source is also helpful in analyzing placement and educational needs of court involved youth.

4. The number of changes in placement that a child experiences continues to increase the length of time a child remains in state care. Colorado Department of Human Services, *Alternative to Foster Care* 24 (2002).

5. Changes in foster care placement often cause changes in school placement for youth in care. School performance suffers as youth experience school disruption. Students in care are forced to continually adjust to new curricula, teachers, academic demands, group norms and school peers. As a result of placement disruptions, students in care are less likely to receive timely assessments, obtain continuous educational services and have accurate school records. E. Yu, P. Day and M. Williams, *Improving Educational Outcomes for Youth in Care: Symposium Summary Report*, Child Welfare League of America, 2002, p. xvi.


7. School connectedness, which is defined as a student’s feeling part of and cared for at school, is linked with lower levels of substance use, violence, suicide attempts, pregnancy and emotional distress, according to J. Wald and D. Losen, *Defining and Redirecting a School-to-Prison Pipeline: Framing Paper for the School-to-Prison Pipeline Research Conference*, The Civil Rights Project at Harvard University, May 16-17, 2003, p. 5 (citing C.A. McNeely, J.M. Nonnemaker and R.W. Blum, *Promoting Student Connectedness to School: Evidence from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health*, 72 Journal of School Health (2002)).


The right school: appropriate school placement

The following facts all appear in the frequently quoted Weinberg et al. article, supra note 2. The citations following each statistic are the sources that Weinberg credits.

1. Twenty-five to forty percent of children in foster care are placed in special education compared to 10% to 12% in the general population, according to L. Weinberg and N. Shea, Who Should be Responsible for the Education of Children in Foster Care: A Literature Review, Mental Health Advocacy Services, Inc., Los Angeles, CA (2001).


5. Approximately 75% of foster children perform below grade level and more than 50% have been retained at least one year in school according to Sawyer & Dubowitz, School Performance of Children in Kinship Care, 18 Child Abuse & Neglect 587-597 (1994).


7. One-half of the children in foster care show developmental delay that is approximately four to five times the rate of delay found in the general population according to Osofsky et al., supra note 9 at 5 (citing S. Dicker and E. Gordon, Connecting Healthy Development and Permanency: A Pivotal Role for Child Welfare Professionals, 1 Permanency Planning Today 12-15 (2000).

8. Students with learning disabilities can experience considerable pain and social stigma because they are often harassed and denigrated by peers who are not learning disabled. Such a negative environment can discourage many students with learning disability from attending school and may explain why most LD students fail. The incidence of special needs students in juvenile centers has been found to be as high as 40%. The incidence of learning disabilities among the general population based on U.S. Department of Education and local service providers is around 5%. Most incarcerated LD youth receive inadequate educational services while they are involved with the juvenile justice system. C. Winters, Learning Disabilities, Crime, Delinquency, and Special Education Placement, 32 Adolescence at 5 (June 22, 1997).


10. Between 28% and 43% of incarcerated juveniles have special education needs including learning disabilities. In adult correctional facilities between 30% and 50% of the inmates need special education according to C.M. Fink, Special Education in the Service for Correctional Education, 41 Journal of Correctional Education 186-90 (1991).

11. While about 7% of all public school students in the United States have been identified as having disabilities, studies estimate the prevalence rate of disabling conditions among incarcerated juveniles is up to 70%. P.E. Leone, B.A. Zaremba, M.S. Chapin and C. Isili, Understanding the Overrepresentation of Youths with Disabilities in Juvenile Detention, 3 District of Columbia L. Review at 389 (1995).
Finish school: successful school transitions and long-term planning

1. Imprisoned youth are likely to be school dropouts. Seventy-five percent of youths under 18 who have been sentenced to adult prisons have not completed 10th grade according to J. Wald and D. Losen, *Defining and Redirecting a School-to-Prison Pipeline: Framing Paper for the School-to Prison Pipeline Research Conference*, The Civil Rights Project at Harvard University, p. 4 (May 16-17, 2003).

2. Each year, approximately 20,000 youth in the United States “age out” of foster care when they reach the age of 18, http://www.cwla.org/programs/fostercare/factsheet.htm.

3. It is still not unusual to find that as foster children approach their eighteenth birthday, the county’s plan for that child is to ask the court to dismiss the case and for the child to leave the foster home regardless of whether or not the child is prepared to live on their own or even has a place to live. Children’s Law Center of Minnesota, *Transitions for Success: Preparing Foster Youth for Living Independently*, May 2003.

4. States with higher rates of out-of-school suspension also have higher overall rates of juvenile incarceration. Higher rates of out-of-school suspension also are associated with lower rates of achievement in reading, mathematics and writing. J. Wald and D. Losen, *supra* n. 21 at p. 7.

5. Studies conducted in the 1980s have shown that after aging out of the foster care system, many young people encounter serious problems attaining self-sufficient adulthood. In a compilation of several studies, the following statistics were reported:
   - Only about 50% of former foster youth had finished high school.
   - Only about 50% of former foster youth had jobs.
   - Approximately 60% of former female foster youth had given birth.
   - About 25% of former foster youth had experienced homelessness.


6. Roughly half of the children who had emancipated from the foster care system between two-and-a-half to four years ago had completed high school and fewer than half had jobs. R. Cook, *A National Evaluation of Title IV-E Foster Care Independent Living Programs for Youth, Phase 2* (Westat 1992).

7. By the time youth with emotional disturbances have been out of school for three to five years, 58% have been arrested. Similarly, by the time youth with learning disabilities have been out of school for three to five years, 31% have been arrested. S. Burrell and L. Warboys, *Special Education and the Juvenile Justice System*, Juvenile Justice Bulletin, July 2000, available at http://www.ncjrs.org/pdffiles1/ojjdp/179359.pdf (last visited August 8, 2006).

8. M.E. Courtney, S. Terao and N. Bost, *Midwest Evaluation of the Adult Functioning of Former Foster Youth: Conditions of Youth Preparing to Leave State Care*, Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago, 48-49 (Feb 22, 2002).


10. In 1988, the Bureau of Justice Statistics indicated that only 28% of prison inmates had completed high school, 45% of jail inmates had been unemployed and 12% had been employed only part-time. The National Dropout Prevention Network reported that 25% of the nation’s dropouts are unemployed. The NDPN also found that dropouts earn $250,000 less over their lifetime than do graduates. Further, they cost the nation $240 billion in crime, welfare and health costs. Winters, *supra* note 17.

11. Without intervention, most of these young people will not complete high school and are at great risk for entering the adult public assistance and criminal justice systems. Weinberg, *supra* note 2, at 1 (citing Kelly, *supra* note 2, at 757-73).

12. The Chafee Independent Living Program Act provides funds to states to provide assistance to former foster youth in obtaining such services as a high school diploma, career exploration, vocational training, job training and
employment services, training in daily life skills, budgeting and money management, substance abuse prevention, preventive health care, preparation for post-secondary education, mentors and interaction with other adults, employment, financial, housing, counseling, education and other services for former foster youth age 18 to 21. Not all states provide all services.

13. In a study of the high school students in foster care, while nearly 50% report having been placed in special education at some point, and over one-third reported five or more school changes, over 50% of the respondents hoped and expected to graduate from college. Courtney, et al. at supra n. 28 at 39-41.

14. Getting Out of The Red Zone, by Sue Burrell of the Youth Law Center (April 2003) discusses different financial resources available to students that may be struggling to transition out of foster care. The report focuses on California but does have some federal sources. See pages 11 and 12.

15. On the importance of staying in and completing high school to prevent incarceration see Wald & Losen supra n. 21.

16. For individuals under age 21 who qualify for EPSDT, a comprehensive list of health related services from family planning to eyeglasses to inpatient and outpatient care and prescription drugs must be available if medically necessary. See 42 U.S.C. §1396d(a) and A. English, M. Morreale and A. Stinnett, Adolescents in Public Health Insurance Programs: Medicaid and CHIP, Center for Adolescent Health and Law at 62 (1999).

17. 42 U.S.C. § 675(1)(C)(c)(v)-(viii)-(D) requires that for every child in Title IV-E foster care, the case plan shall include: “Where appropriate, for a child age 16 or over, a written description of the programs and services which will help such child prepare for the transition from foster care to independent living.” In general, case plans under the Adoption and Safe Families Act require documentation of agency effort to provide appropriate services, including education, to all children in Title IV-E foster care.

18. Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment Act (EPSDT) requires comprehensive screening for health problems and follow-up treatment as “medically necessary.” Many states extend EPSDT coverage to recipients of Medicaid for age 0 to age 21. Services should include treatment for substance abuse as well as mental health care and reproductive counseling, although these services may be difficult for the teen to access. See Howze supra n. 18 at pp. 45-46.

19. The Adoption and Safe Families Act requires that matters of health and education reflected in the case records maintained by the court include documentation of transitional services that are individualized to meet the individual needs and strengths of each adolescent. 42 U.S. C. §675(1)(C).


National Children’s Law Network

The mission of the National Children’s Law Network (NCLN) is to improve the lives and opportunities of court-involved children across the country. We accomplish this mission through three central strategies:

- Enhancing the quality of legal representation of children through the development of best practices, outcome-based assessments of individual legal representation, and the expanded recruitment, training and retention of pro bono attorneys to effectively represent children.
- Developing standards and best practices for children’s law centers across the country.
- Improving educational opportunities and outcomes for court-involved children.

The NCLN is working to open the educational pipeline for court-involved children as part of its policy and training initiative In School, the Right School, Finish School. The goals are to train professionals who work with disadvantaged children and youth to address education as integral to their intervention, to impact court systems (i.e., child protection, delinquency, education, immigration and asylum) to promote, encourage and facilitate school for their child clients, and to impact higher educational institutions with a stream of children of color and other disadvantaged youth.

Each of the eight child advocacy organizations represent youth from low income families who are involved in the justice system due in part to their unmet needs for permanency, family resilience, safety, education, health services or emotional supports, and whose complex problems and needs often confound traditional providers of legal and social services. The NCLN is building on our common strengths to expand the capacity, quality and sustainability of each organization and extend our impact on vital issues of children’s policy.

Contact information
Frank P. Cervone at 215-925-1913, ext. 130 (frankc@advokid.org) or
Bernardine Dohrn at 312-503-0135 (b-dohrn@law.northwestern.edu)
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Additional Resources

Alabama
Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program
Box 870395
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0395
Tel: 205-348-4928 / 1-800-826-1675
Fax: 205-348-3909
E-mail: egillespie@adap.ua.edu
Website: www.adap.net
Ellen Gillespie, Director

Arkansas
Disability Rights Center, Inc.
1100 North University, Suite 201
Little Rock, AR 72207
Tel: 501-296-1775 / 1-800-482-1174
Fax: 501-296-1779
E-mail: panda@arkdisabilityrights.org
Website: www.arkdisabilityrights.org
Nan Ellen East, Executive Director

Arizona
Advocates for the Disabled, Inc.
5060 North Nineteenth, Suite 306
Phoenix, AZ 85015
Tel: 602-212-2600 / 1-800-875-2272
TTY: 602-212-2702
Fax: 602-212-2606
E-mail: afdagency@advocatesforthedisabled.org
Website: www.cirs.org/homepage/advocates
Sherry Whitten, Executive Director

California
Alliance for Children's Rights
3333 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 550
Los Angeles, CA 90010-4111
Tel: 213-368-6010
Fax: 213-368-6016
Website: www.kids-alliance.org
Janis Spire

Center for Children's Rights
Whittier Law School
3333 Harbor Boulevard
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Tel: 714-444-4141 x238
Fax: 714-444-3230
E-mail: dforman@law.whittier.edu
Deborah Forman, Director

Child Care Law Center
221 Pine Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: 415-394-7144
Fax: 415-394-7140
E-mail: info@childcarelaw.org
Website: www.childcarelaw.org
Nancy Strohl
Children's Law Center of Los Angeles
201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 10
Monterey Park, CA 91754-2178
Tel: 323-980-1700
Fax: 323-940-1708
Website: www.clcla.org

Children's Law Office, Inc.
P.O. Box 298
Stinson Beach, CA 94970
Tel: 415-868-1537
Fax: 415-868-1538
Carole Brill

Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund
2122 Sixth Street
Berkeley, CA 94710
Tel: 510-644-2555
Fax: 510-841-8645
E-mail: dredf@dredf.org
Website: www.dredf.org

Disability Rights Legal Center
Loyola Marymount University Law School
919 South Albany Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015
Tel: 213-736-1334 / 1-866-9993752
Fax: 213-736-1428
E-mail: CLRC@lls.edu
Website: www.disabilityrightslegalcenter.org/

Eve Hill, Executive Director

Inner City Law Center
1309 Seventh Street
Los Angeles, CA 90021
Tel: 213-891-2880
Fax: 213-891-2888
E-mail: info@innercitylaw.org
Website: http://innercitylaw.org/

Rebecca Isaacs, Executive Director

Law Foundation of Silicon Valley
111 West Saint John Street, Suite 315
San Jose, CA 95113
Law Foundation of Silicon Valley
Tel: 408-280-2416
Fax: 408-293-0106
E-mail: sergioj@lawfoundation.org
Website: www.lawfoundation.org
Jennifer Kelleher, Directing Attorney

Legal Aid of Marin
30 North San Pedro Road, Suite 220
San Rafael, CA 94903
Tel: 415-492-0230
Fax: 415-492-0947
Paul Cohen, Executive Director

Legal Services for Children
1254 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: 415-863-3762
Fax: 415-863-7708
Website: www.lsc-sf.org

Shannan Wilber

Legal Services of Northern California
517 Twelfth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 916-551-2150 x7110
Fax: 916-551-2195
E-mail: exec-office@lsnc.net
Website: www.lsnc.info

Gary Smith, Executive Director

National Center for Youth Law
405 Fourteenth Street, 15th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
Tel: 510-835-8098
Fax: 510-835-8099
E-mail: info@youthlaw.org
Website: www.youthlaw.org

John H. O'Toole

Protection & Advocacy, Inc.
100 Howe Avenue, Suite 185N
Sacramento, CA 95825
Tel: 916-488-9955 / 1-800-776-5746
Fax: 916-488-2635/9962
E-mail: legalmail@pai-ca.org
Website: www.pai-ca.org

Catherine Blakemore, Executive Director

Public Counsel: Children's Rights Project
P.O. Box 76900
Los Angeles, CA 90076
Tel: 213-385-2977
Fax: 213-385-9089
Website: www.publiccounsel.org

Andrea Ramos

Sacramento Child Advocates, Inc.
3050 Fite Circle, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95827
Tel: 916-364-5686
Fax: 916-364-5687
E-mail: rwilson@sacchildadv.com
Website: http://sacchildadv.com/

Robert M. Wilson, Executive Director
Youth Law Center  
471 Montgomery Street, Suite 900  
San Francisco, CA 94104-1121  
Tel: 415-543-3379  
Fax: 415-956-9022  
E-mail: info@ylc.org  
Website: www.ylc.org  
Carole Shauffer, Executive Director

Colorado
The Legal Center  
455 Sherman Street, Suite 130  
Denver, CO 80203  
Tel: 303-722-0300 / 1-800-288-1376  
Fax: 303-722-0720  
E-mail: tlcmail@thelegalcenter.org  
Website: www.thelegalcenter.org  
Mary Anne Harvey, Executive Director

Rocky Mountain Children’s Law Center  
1325 South Colorado Boulevard, Suite 308  
Denver, CO 80222  
Tel: 303-692-1165  
Fax: 303-302-2890  
Website: www.rockymountainchildrenslawcenter.org  
Shari Shink

Connecticut
Advocacy for Parents and Children  
Yale Law School Jerome N. Frank Legal Services Organization  
P.O. Box 209090  
New Haven, CT 06520-9090  
Tel: 203-432-4806  
Fax: 203-432-1426  
Jean Koh Peters

Center for Children’s Advocacy, Inc.  
University of Connecticut School of Law  
65 Elizabeth Street  
Hartford, CT 06105  
Tel: 860-570-5327  
Fax: 860-570-5256  
E-mail: mstone@kidscounsel.org  
Website: www.kidscounsel.org  
Martha Stone

The Children’s Law Center  
30 Arbor Street, South Building  
Hartford, CT 06106  
Tel: 860-232-9993 / 888-529-3667  
Fax: 860-232-9996  
E-mail: ele@clct.org  
Website: www.clct.org  
Justine Rakich-Kelly

Juvenile Law Pro Bono Project  
LeBoeuf Lamb Greene & MacRae LLP  
225 Asylum Street  
Hartford, CT 06103  
Tel: 860-293-3538  
Fax: 860-293-3555

Lawyers for Children America, Inc.  
151 Farmington Avenue  
Hartford, CT 06156-3124  
Tel: 860-273-0441  
Fax: 860-273-8340  
E-mail: CT@lawyersforchildrenamerica.org  
Website: www.lawyersforchildrenamerica.org  
Priscilla Pappadia

New Haven Legal Assistance Association, Inc.  
426 State Street  
New Haven, CT 06510-2018  
Tel: 203-946-4811  
Fax: 203-498-9271  
Website: www.nhlegal.org  
Patricia Kaplan

Office of Protection & Advocacy for Persons with Disabilities  
60B Weston Street  
Hartford, CT 06120  
Tel: 860-297-4300 / 1-800-842-7303 (in-state)  
Fax: 860-566-8714  
E-mail: james.mcgaughey@po.state.ct.us  
Website: www.state.ct.us/opapd/  
Jim McGaughey, Executive Director

Delaware
Disabilities Law Program at Community Legal Aid Society  
Community Services Building,  
100 West Tenth Street, Suite 801  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: 302-575-0660  
Fax: 302-575-0840  
E-mail: bhartman@declasi.org  
Website: www.declasi.org  
Brian Hartman, Executive Director

Office of the Child Advocate  
913 Market Street, Suite 900  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: 302-255-1730  
Fax: 302-577-6831  
E-mail: anne.pedrick@state.de.us  
Website: http://courts.delaware.gov/childadvocate/  
Tania Culley
Office of the Child Advocate
9 East Loockermann Street
Treadway Towers, Suite 302
Dover, DE 19901
Tel: 320-739-7150
Fax: 302-739-7153
Website: http://courts.delaware.gov/childadvocate/
E-mail: anne.pedrick@state.de.us

United Cerebral Palsy, Inc.
Client Assistance Program
254 East Camden-Wyoming Avenue
Camden, DE 19934
Tel: 302-698-9336 / 1-800-640-9336
Fax: 302-698-9338
E-mail: capucp@magpage.com
Melissa H. Shahan, CAP Director

District of Columbia
The Children’s Law Center
901 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: 202-467-4900
Fax: 202-467-4949
E-mail: jsandalow@childrenslawcenter.org
Website: www.childrenslawcenter.org
Judith Sandalow, Director

Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044
Tel: 202-662-5044
Fax: 202-662-6291
E-mail: jflack@cor.com
Website: www.cor.com
Jan LeMessurier Flac
Coordinator of Public Service Activities

Justice for Children
1155 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Sixth floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: 202-462-4688 / 1-800-733-0059
Fax: 202-462-4689
E-mail: info@jfcadvocacy.org
Website: www.jfcadvocacy.org
Eileen King, Regional Director

Lawyers for Children America, Inc.
c/o Swidler Berlin LLP
3000 K Street, NW Suite 125
Washington, D.C. 20007
Tel: 202-339-8943
Fax: 202-298-5558
E-mail: WDC@lawyersforchildrenamerica.org
Website: www.lawyersforchildrenamerica.org
Lynda Dorman, Executive Director

Juvenile Justice Clinic
Georgetown University Law Center
111 F Street N.W., Room 127
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel: 202-662-9590
Fax: 202-662-9681
E-mail: jjc@law.georgetown.edu
Wallace J. Mlyniec

Juvenile Law Clinic
University of The District of Columbia
David A. Clarke School of Law
4200 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008
Tel: 202-274-7317
Fax: 202-274-5583
Joseph B. Tulman

Protection & Advocacy Agency
220 I Street, N.E., Suite 130
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel: 202-547-0198
Fax: 202-547-2083
E-mail: jbrown@uls-dc.org
Website: www.uls-dc.org
Jane Brown, Executive Director

Florida
Advocacy Center for Persons w/Disabilities
2671 Executive Center
Circle West Webster Building, Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5024
Tel: 850-488-9071 / 1-800-342-0823 (in-state)
Fax: 850-488-8640
E-mail: info@advocacycenter.org
Website: www.advocacycenter.org
Hubert A. Grissom, Interim E.D.

Children’s Advocacy Center
The Florida State University College of Law
Box 10287
Tallahassee, FL 32302-4072
Tel: 850-644-9928
Fax: 850-644-0879
Ruth Penney

Children and Families Clinic
Barry University, Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law
6441 Colonial Drive
Orlando, FL 32807
Tel: 407-275-4451
Fax: 407-275-0701
Website: www.barry.edu
Joanna Markman
Children and Youth Law Clinic
University of Miami School of Law
P.O. Box 248087
Coral Gables, FL 24807
Tel: 305-284-3123
Fax: 305-284-4384
E-mail: bperlmut@law.miami.edu
Bernard Perlmutter, Director

Children First Project
Shepard Broad Law Center
3300 College Avenue
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33314
Tel: 954-262-6030
Fax: 954-262-3846
Christina Zawisza

Children's Law Project of The Civil Law Clinic
Nova Southeastern University
3505 College Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314
Tel: 954-262-6138
Fax: 654-262-3832
Brian Blackwelder

Florida Legal Services
126 West Adams Street, Suite 502
Jacksonville, FL 32202
Tel: 904-355-5200
Fax: 904-355-5223
Website: www.floridalegal.org
Deborah Schroth

Foster Children's Project
423 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Tel: 561-833-5787
Fax: 561-833-5826
Website: www.legalaidpbc.org
John Walsh

Gator TeamChild
P.O. Box 117626
Gainsville, FL 32611-7626
Tel: 352-392-0412
Fax: 352-392-0414
Website: www.ufl.edu/academics/clinics/juvenile
Claudia Wright

Guardian Ad Litem Program
800 East Kennedy Boulevard, Room 26
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel: 813-272-5110
Fax: 813-272-6821
Laura Ankenbruck

Juvenile Advocacy Project
Legal Aid Society of Palm Beach County
423 Fern Street, Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Tel: 561-655-8944
Fax: 561-655-5269
Michelle Hankey

Lawyers for Children America, Inc.
c/o Steel, Hector & Davis
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 4000
Miami, FL 33131-2398
Tel: 305-577-4771
Fax: 305-577-7001
Lesley Mara

Legal Aid Society of the Orange County Bar Assoc.
100 East Robinson Street
Orlando, FL 32801
Tel: 407-841-8310 x3136
Fax: 407-648-9240
Susan Khoury

Project Permanent Placement
Legal Aid Society of Palm Beach County
423 Fern Street, Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Tel: 561-655-8944
Fax: 561-655-5269
Kimberly Rommell-Enright

Team Child
Legal Services of North Florida
2119 Delta Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32303
Tel: 850-385-9007
Fax: 850-385-7603
Website: www.lsnf.org
Kristine Knab

Georgia
Atlanta Volunteer Lawyers Foundation
225 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1105, South Tower
Atlanta, GA 30303
Tel: 404-521-0790
Fax: 404-521-3434
Martin Ellin

Georgia Advocacy Office, Inc.
One Decatur Town Center
150 East Ponce de Leon Avenue, Suite 430
Decatur, GA 30030
Tel: 404-885-1234 / 1-800-537-2329
Fax: 770-414-2948
E-mail: info@thegao.org
Website: www.thegao.org
Ruby Moore, Executive Director
Georgia Client Assistance Program
123 North McDonough
Decatur, GA 30030
Tel: 404-373-3116
Fax: 404-373-0018
E-mail: GaCAPDirector@theOmbudsman.com
Website: www.theOmbudsman.com
Charles L. Martin, CAP Director

Guardian Ad Litem Program
1 Peachtree Center, Suite 5300
303 Peach Tree Street
Atlanta, GA 30308
Tel: 404-527-8530
Fax: 404-527-8530
Debbie Ebel

Hawaii
Disabled Rights Legal Project
1108 Nuuanu Avenue
Honolulu, HI 96817
Tel: 808-527-8055
Fax: 808-531-3215
Susan Cooper

Hawaii Disability Rights Center
900 Fort Street Mall, Suite 1040, Pioneer Plaza
Honolulu, HI 96813
Tel: 808-949-2922
Fax: 808-949-2928
E-mail: info@hawaiidisabilityrights.org
Website: www.hawaiidisabilityrights.org
Gary Smith, Executive Director

Na Keiki Law Center
545 Queen Street, 100 A
Honolulu, HI 96813
Tel: 808-528-7051
Fax: 808-524-2147
Website: www.vlsh.org
Judy Sobin

Idaho
Co-Ad, Inc.
4477 Emerald Street, Suite B-100
Boise, ID 83706-2066
Tel: 208-336-5353 / 1-866-262-3462
Fax: 208-336-5396
E-mail: coadinc@cableone.net
Website: http://users.moscow.com/co-ad
Jim Baugh, Executive Director

Illinois
American Civil Liberties Union of Illinois
180 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60601-7401
Tel: 312-201-9740
Fax: 312-201-9740
Benjamin Wolf

Children & Family Justice Center
Northwestern Legal Clinic
357 East Chicago Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611-3069
Tel: 312-503-3996
Fax: 312-503-0953
Bernardine Dohrn

Children’s Health and Education Project
100 North LaSalle Street, Suite 600
Chicago, IL 60602
Tel: 312-630-9744
Fax: 312-630-1127
Clyde Murphy

Children’s Law Project
Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago
111 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60604
Tel: 312-347-8356
Fax: 312-341-1041
Richard Cozzola

Equip for Equality, Inc.
20 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60602
Tel: 312-341-0022 / 1-800-537-2632
Fax: 312-341-0295
E-mail: contactus@equipforequality.org
Website: www.equipforequality.org
Zena Naiditch, Executive Director

Illinois Client Assistance Program
100 North First Street, 1st Floor
Springfield, IL 62702
Tel: 217-782-5374
Fax: 217-524-1790
E-mail: DHSHRLOL@dsh.state.il
Kathy Meadows

Loyola ChildLaw Clinic
Loyola University of Chicago
16 East Pearson Street
Chicago, IL 60611
Tel: 312-915-7940
Fax: 312-915-6485
Website: www.luc.edu/schools/law/childlaw/index
Bruce Boyer
Office of the Public Guardian of Cook County  
2245 West Odgen, 4th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60612  
Tel: 312-433-4300  
Fax: 312-433-4336  
Robert Harris

Indiana  
Child Advocacy Clinic  
211 South Indiana Avenue  
Bloomington, IN 47405  
Tel: 812-855-9229  
Fax: 812-855-5128  
Amy Applegate  

The Children's Law Center of Indiana  
5172 East 65th Street, Suite 101  
Indianapolis, IN 46220  
Tel: 317-558-2870  
Fax: 317-558-2945  
E-mail: info@clcind.org  
Website: www.clcind.org  
Janna Rhodes  

Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services  
4701 North Keystone Avenue, Suite 222  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Tel: 317-722-5555  
Fax: 1-800-622-4845  
E-mail: tgallagher@ipas.state.in.us  
Website: www.middleton.drake.edu  
Tom Gallagher, Executive Director  

Valparaiso University Law School Clinic  
Heritage Hall  
Valparaiso, IN 48383  
Tel: 219-465-7904  
Fax: 219-465-7872  
Marcia L. Gienapp

Iowa  
Children's Rights Clinic  
Drake Law School  
2400 University Avenue  
Des Moines, IA 50311  
Tel: 515-271-3851  
Fax: 515-271-4100  
Website: www.middleton.drake.edu  
Suzanne Levitt

Client Assistance Program  
Division on Persons w/Disabilities  
Lucas State Office Building  
Des Moines, IA 50310  
Tel: 515-281-3957 / 1-800-652-4298  
Fax: 515-242-6119  
E-mail: harlietta.helland@dhr.state.ia.us  
Harlietta Helland, CAP Director  

Iowa P&A Services, Inc.  
950 Office Park Road, Suite 221  
West De Moines, IA 50265  
Tel: 515-278-2502 / 1-800-779-2502  
Fax: 515-278-0539  
E-mail: info@ipna.org  
Website: www.ipna.org  
Sylvia Piper, Executive Director  

Youth Law Center  
218 Sixth Avenue, Suite 706  
Des Moines, IA 50309  
Tel: 515-244-1172  
Fax: 515-244-4370  
Kathryn Miller

Kansas  
Children and Family Law Center  
Washburn University School of Law  
1700 S.W. College Avenue  
Topeka, KS 66621  
Tel: 785-231-1010 x1838  
Fax: 785-231-1037  
Website: www.washburnlaw.edu  
Linda Elrod  

Children's Law Unit Kansas Legal Services  
712 South Kansas Avenue, Suite 200  
Topeka, KS 66603  
Tel: 785-233-2068  
Fax: 785-354-8311  
Website: www.kansaslegalservices.org  
Mary Landry, Managing Attorney  

Children's Law Unit Kansas Legal Services  
527 Commerical Street, Suite 521  
Emporia, KS 66801  
Tel: 620-343-7520  
Fax: 620-343-6898  
Ty Wheeler, Project Director  

Kansas Advocacy & Protective Services  
3745 S.W. Wanamaker Road  
Topeka, KS 66610  
Tel: 785-273-9661  
Fax: 785-273-9414  
E-mail: rocky@ksadv.org  
Rocky Nichols, Executive Director
Kentucky
Children's Law Center
104 East Seventh Street
Covington, KY 41044
Tel: 859-431-3313
Fax: 859-655-7553
E-mail: childrenslaw@fuse.net
Kim Brooks

Client Assistance Program
209 St. Clair, 5th Floor
Frankfort, KY 40601
Tel: 502-564-8035 / 1-800-633-6283
Fax: 502-564-2951
E-mail: VickiL.Staggs@ky.gov
Website: http://kycap.ky.gov
Gerry Gordon-Brown, CAP Director

Office for Public Advocacy
Division for P&A
100 Fair Oaks Lane, 3rd Floor
Frankfort, KY 40601
Tel: 502-564-2967 / 1-800-372-2988
Fax: 502-564-3949
E-mail: Maureen.Fitzgerald@ky.gov
Maureen Fitzgerald, Executive Director

TeamChild
Legal Aid Society
425 West Muhammad Ali Boulevard
Louisville, KY 40202
Tel: 502-584-1254
Fax: 502-584-8014
Rita Ward

Louisiana
Advocacy Center
225 Baronne Street, Suite 2112
New Orleans, LA 70112-2112
Tel: 504-522-2337 / 1-800-960-7705
Fax: 504-522-5507
E-mail: lsimpson@advocacyla.org
Website: www.advocacyla.org
Lois Simpson, Executive Director

Child In Need of Care Program
New Orleans Pro Bono Project
601 St. Charles Avenue
New Orleans, LA 70130
Tel: 504-581-4043
Fax: 504-566-0518
Rachel Piercey

Tulane Juvenile Law Clinic
Tulane University
6329 Freret Street, Suite 130
New Orleans, LA 70118
Tel: 504-865-5153
Fax: 504-865-8753
David Katner

Maine
CARES, Inc.
47 Water Street, Suite 104
Hallowell, ME 04347
Tel: 207-622-7055 / 1-800-773-7055
Fax: 207-621-1869
E-mail: capsit@aol.com
Website: www.caresinc.org
Steve Beam, Program Director

Disability Rights Center
24 Stone Street
P.O. Box 2007
Augusta, ME 04338
Tel: 207-626-2774 / 1-800-452-1948 tdd (in-state)
Fax: 207-621-1419
E-mail: advocate@drcme.org
Website: www.drcme.org
Kim Moody, Executive Director

Kids Legal Aid of Maine
Pine Tree Legal Assistance
P.O. Box 547
Portland, ME 04112
Tel: 207-774-8211
Fax: 207-828-2300
Website: www.kidslegalaid.org
Nan Heald

Maryland
Client Assistance Program
Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Rehabilitation Services/ MD Rehabilitation Center
2301 Argonne Drive
Baltimore, MD 21218-1696
Tel: 410-554-9359 / 1-800-638-6243
Fax: 410-554-9362
E-mail: cap@dors.state.md.us
Beth Lash, CAP Director

Family Law Clinic
University of Baltimore School of Law
1420 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201
Tel: 410-837-5657
Fax: 410-333-3053
Jane Murphy
The Law Foundation of Prince George's County
P.O. Box 329
Hyattsville, MD 20781
Tel: 301-864-8353
Fax: 301-864-8352
E-mail: lawfoundation@msn.com
Website: www.lawfoundationpg.org
Neal Conway

Legal Aid Bureau, Inc.
500 Lexington Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
Tel: 410-539-5340
Fax: 410-539-1710
Website: www.mdlab.org
Rhonda Lipkin

Maryland Disability Law Center
The Walbert Building
1800 North Charles Street, Suite 400
Baltimore, MD 21201
Tel: 410-727-6352 / 1-800-233-7201 (in-state)
Fax: 410-727-6389
E-mail: garyw@mdlcbalto.org
Website: www.mdlcbalto.org
Gary Weston, Executive Director

Public Justice Center
500 East Lexington Street, Suite 500
Baltimore, MD 21202
Tel: 410-625-9409
Fax: 410-625-9423
Jonathan Smith

Massachusetts

Center for Law and Education
43 Winter Street, 8th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-451-0855
Fax: 617-451-0857
Website: www.cleweb.org

Children & Family Law Program
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Committee for Public Counsel Services
44 Bromfield
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-482-6212
Fax: 617-988-8455
Susan Dillard

Children's Law Center of Massachusetts
298 Union Street
P.O. Box 710
Lynn, MA 01903
Tel: 781-581-1977
Fax: 781-598-9364
Website: www.clcm.org
Jay McManus

Client Assistance Program
MA Office on Disability
One Ashburton Place, Room 1305
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-727-7440
Fax: 617-727-0965
E-mail: Barbara.Lybarger@modi.state.ma.us
Website: www.state.ma.us/mod/MSCAPBRO.html
Barbara Lybarger, CAP Director

Criminal Justice Institute
Harvard University Law School
302 Austin Hall
Cambridge, MA 02138
Tel: 617-496-8143
Fax: 617-496-2277
Charles Ogletree, Jr.

Disability Law Center, Inc.
11 Beacon Street, Suite 925
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-723-8455 / 1-800-872-9992
Fax: 617-723-9125
E-mail: cgriffin@dlc-ma.org
Website: www.dlc-ma.org/
Christine Griffin, Executive Director

Ellen Lawton Federation for Children With Special Needs
1135 Tremont Street, Suite 420
Boston, MA 02120
Tel: 617-236-7210 / 1-800-331-0688 (in-state)
Fax: 617-572-2094
E-mail: fcsninfo@fcsn.org
Website: www.fcsn.org

Family Advocacy Program
Dowling 3 South
One Boston Medical Center Place
Boston, MA 02118
Tel: 617-414-3658
Fax: 618-414-3833
Website: www.bmc.org/pediatrics/special/FAP

Hale and Dorr Legal Services Center
122 Boylston Street
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
Tel: 617-522-3003
Fax: 617-522-0715
Juvenile Justice Center
Suffolk University Law School
45 Bromfield Street, 7th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-305-3200
Fax: 617-451-6241
Lisa Thurau-Gray

Juvenile Rights Advocacy Project
Boston College School of Law
885 Centre Street
Newton Centre, MA 02459
Tel: 617-552-2350
Fax: 617-552-2615
Francine Sherman

Legal Assistance Corporation of Central MA
405 Main Street
Worcester, MA 01608-1735
Tel: 508-752-3718
Fax: 508-752-5918
Robert Nasdor

Massachusetts Advocates for Children
100 Boylston Street, Suite 200
Boston, MA 02116-4610
Tel: 617-357-8431
Fax: 617-357-8438
Website: www.massadvocates.org

Southeastern Massachusetts Legal Assistance Corp
231 Main Street, Suite 201
Brockton, MA 02301-4342
Tel: 508-586-2110 / 1-800-244-8393
Fax: 508-587-3222

Volunteer Lawyers Project
29 Temple Place, 3rd Floor
Boston, MA 02111
Tel: 617-423-0648
Fax: 617-423-0061
Lynn Girtin

Michigan
Child Advocacy Law Clinic
The University of Michigan Law School
313 Legal Research Building
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1215
Tel: 734-763-5000
Fax: 734-647-4042
Donald Duquette

Michigan P&A Services
4095 Legacy Parkway, Suite 500
Lansing, MI 48911
Tel: 517-487-1755 / 1-800-288-5923 (in-state)
Fax: 517-487-0827
E-mail: ecerano@mpas.org
Website: www.mpas.org
Elmer Cerano, Executive Director

Minnesota
Child Advocacy Clinic
University of Minnesota Law School
190 Mondale Hall, 229
Nineteenth Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55455
Tel: 612-625-5515
Fax: 612-624-5771
Website: www.umn.edu
Jean Gerval

Children and the Law Section
Minnesota State Bar Association
600 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 301
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Tel: 612-333-1183 / 1-800-882-6722
Fax: 612-333-4927
Heather McCleery

Children's Law Center of Minnesota
450 N. Syndicate, Suite 315
St. Paul, MN 55104
Tel: 651-644-4438
Fax: 615-646-4404
Gail Chang Bohr

General Practice Clinic - Child Advocacy
Hamline University School of Law
1536 Hewitt Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55104
Tel: 651-523-2898
Fax: 651-523-2400
Angie McCaffrey

Minnesota Disability Law Center
430 First Avenue North, Suite 300
Minneapolis, MN 55401-1780
Tel: 612-332-1441 / 1-800-292-4150 (in-state only)
Fax: 612-334-5755
E-mail: bjursik@midmnlegal.org
Website: www.mnlegalservices.org
Brenda Jursik, Administrator
Mississippi
Client Assistance Program
Easter Seal Society
3226 North State Street
Jackson, MS 39216
Tel: 601-982-7051
Fax: 601-982-1951
E-mail: pposey8803@aol.com
Presley Posey, CAP Director
Mississippi P&A System for DD, Inc.
5305 Executive Place, Suite A
Jackson, MS 39206
Tel: 601-981-8207 / 1-800-772-4057
Fax: 601-981-8313
E-mail: mspna@bellsouth.net
Website: www.mspas.com
Rebecca Floyd, Executive Director

Missouri
Children's Legal Alliance
Legal Services of Eastern Missouri
4232 Forest Park Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63108
Tel: 314-534-4200 x1315
Fax: 314-534-1075
Jacqueline Kutnik-Bauder
Missouri P&A Services
925 South Country Club Drive, Unit B-1
Jefferson City, MO 65109
Tel: 573-893-3333 / 1-800-392-8667
Fax: 573-893-4231
E-mail: mopasjc@earthlink.net
Website: www.moadvocacy.org
Shawn de Loyola, Executive Director

Montana
Montana Advocacy Program
400 North Park, 2nd Floor
PO Box 1681
Helena, MT 59624
Tel: 406-449-2344 / 1-800-245-4743
Fax: 406-449-2418
E-mail: bernie@mtadv.org
Website: www.mtadv.org
Bernadette Franks-Ongoy, E.D.

Nebraska
Client Assistance Program
P.O. Box 94987
Lincoln, NE 68509
Tel: 402-471-3656 / 1-800-742-7594
Fax: 402-471-0117
E-mail: victoria@cap.state.ne.us
Victoria Rasmussen, CAP Director
Nebraska Advocacy Services, Inc.
134 South Thirteenth Street, Suite 600
Lincoln, NE 68508
Tel: 402-474-3183 / 1-800-422-6691
Fax: 402-474-3274
E-mail: nas@nas-pas.org
Timothy Shaw, Executive Director
Volunteer Lawyers Project
635 South Fourteenth Street
P.O. Box 81809
Lincoln, NE 68501-1809
Tel: 402-475-7091
Fax: 402-475-7098
Jean McNeil

Nevada
Children's Attorneys Project
800 South Eighth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Tel: 702-386-1070 x11
Fax: 702-366-0569
Website: www.clarkcountylegal.com/childrenl.htm
Steve Hiltz
Client Assistance Program
1820 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 109
Las Vegas, NV 89104
Tel: 702-486-6688
Fax: 702-486-6691
E-mail: mmoroun@nvdetr.org
Margaret Moroun, CAP Director
Nevada Advocacy & Law Center, Inc.
6039 Eldora Avenue, Suite C-3
Las Vegas, NV 89146
Tel: 702-257-8150 / 1-888-349-3843
Fax: 702-257-8170
E-mail: ndalc@earthlink.net
Website: www.ndalc.org
Jack Mayes, Executive Director
Thomas and Mack Legal Clinic
William S. Boyd School of Law
4505 Maryland Parkway, Box 45-1003
Las Vegas, NV 89154-1003
Tel: 702-895-2080
Fax: 702-895-2081
Pamela Mohr
New Hampshire

Client Assistance Program
Governor's Commission on Disability
57 Regional Drive
Concord, NH 03301-9686
Tel: 603-271-2773
Fax: 603-271-2837
E-mail: bhagy@gov.state.nh.us
Website: www.state.nh.us/disability/caphomepage.html
Bill Hagy, Ombudsman

Disabilities Rights Center
18 Low Avenue
Concord, NH 03301
Tel: 603-228-0432
E-mail: advocacy@drcnh.org
Website: www.drcnh.org
Richard Cohen, Executive Director

Family and Housing Law Clinic
Franklin Pierce Law Center
2 White Street
Concord, NH 03301
Tel: 603-225-3350
Fax: 603-229-0423
Website: www.piercelaw.edu
Mary Pilkington-Casey

New Jersey

Association for Children of New Jersey
Children’s Legal Resource Center
35 Halsey Street
Newark, NJ 07102
Tel: 973-643-3876
Fax: 973-643-9153
Cecilia Zalkind

Children’s Justice Clinic
Rutgers University Law School-Camden
217 North Fifth Street
Camden, NJ 08102
Tel: 856-225-6375
Sandra Simkins

Education Law Center, Inc.
155 Washington Street, Room 205
Newark, NJ 07102
Tel: 973-624-1815
Fax: 973-624-7339
E-mail: edlawctr@aol.com
Website: www.edlawcenter.org
David Sciarra

New York

Advocates for Children of New York, Inc.
151 West 30th Street, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10001
Tel: 212-947-9779
Fax: 212-947-9790
Website: www.advocatesforchildren.org
Jill Chaifetz

The Children’s Law Center Clinic
Brooklyn Law School
One Boerum Place
New York, NY 11201
Tel: 718-522-3333
Fax: 718-522-7376
Carol Sherman

Children's Project
54 Greene Street
New York, NY 10013
Tel: 212-966-4400
Fax: 212-291-8943
E-mail: info@volsprobono.org
Website: www.volsprobono.org
William Dean
Children’s Rights Inc.
404 Park Avenue South, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10016
Tel: 212-683-2210
Fax: 212-683-4015
Website: www.childrensrights.org
Marcia Robinson Lowry

Covenant House
New York Youth Advocacy Center
460 West 41st Street
New York, NY 10036
Tel: 212-330-0541
Fax: 212-239-8781
Georgia Booth

The Door
A Center of Alternatives, Inc.
121 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013
Tel: 212-941-9090
Fax: 212-941-0714
Website: www.door.org
Monica Delatorre

Education Law Clinic
Buffalo Law School
P.O. Box 9
Getzville, NY 14068-0009
Tel: 716-645-2167
Fax: 716-645-2900
E-mail: saran@msmail.buffalo.edu
Melinda Saran

Family Advocacy Clinic
Columbia Law School
410 West 116th Street
New York, NY 10027
Tel: 212-854-3123
Fax: 212-854-3699
Jane Spinak

Family Defense Clinic
Washington Square Legal Services, Inc.
249 Sullivan Street
New York, NY 10012
Tel: 212-998-6430
Fax: 212-995-4031
Martin Guggenheim

Hofstra Child Advocacy Clinic
Hofstra University
108 Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549
Tel: 516-463-5934
Fax: 516-463-5937
E-mail: lawtsl@hofstra.edu
Website: www.hofstra.edu
Theo Liebmann

Juvenile Rights Clinic
New York University School of Law
Fuchsberg Hall
249 Sullivan Street
New York, NY 10012
Tel: 212-998-6430
Fax: 212-995-4031
Jacqueline Deane

The Legal Aid Society
Juvenile Rights Division
90 Church Street
New York, NY 10007
Tel: 212-577-3300
Fax: 212-577-7965
Monica Drinane

Legal Services for Children, Inc.
271 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Tel: 212-683-7999
Fax: 212-683-5544
E-mail: lsc@kidslaw.org
Website: www.kidslaw.org
Warren Sinsheimer

NYS Commission on Quality of Care for the Mentally Disabled
401 State Street
Schenectady, NY 12305-2397
Tel: 518-388-2892 / 1-800-624-4143
Fax: 518-388-2890
E-mail: garyo@cqc.state.ny.us
Website: www.cqc.state.ny.us
Gary O’Brien, Executive Director

Statewide Youth Advocacy Inc.
17 Elk Street, 3rd Floor
Albany, NY 12207-1002
Tel: 518-436-8525
Fax: 518-427-6527
Karen Norlander

Welfare Law Center
275 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1205
New York, NY 10001-6708
Tel: 212-633-6967
Fax: 212-633-6371
Website: www.welfarelaw.org
Henry Freedman

Youth Advocacy Center, Inc.
281 Sixth Avenue
New York, NY 10014
Tel: 212-675-6181
Fax: 212-675-5724
Betsy Krebs
### North Carolina

**Advocates for Children's Services**  
P.O. Box 2101  
Durham, NC 27702  
Tel: 919-226-0052  
Fax: 919-226-0566  
Website: www.LSNC.org/ACS  
*Lewis Pitts*

**Children's Education Law Clinic**  
Duke Law School  
201 West Main Street, Suite 202-D  
Durham, NC 27701  
Tel: 919-956-2580  
Fax: 919-956-8179  
*Jane Wettach*

**Children's Law Center**  
137 Brevart Court  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
Tel: 704-331-9474  
Fax: 704-331-9796  
*Bill Underwood*

**Governor's Advocacy Council for Persons with Disabilities**  
1314 Mail Service Center  
Raleigh, NC 27699-1314  
Tel: 919-733-9250 / 1-800-821-6922 (in-state)  
Fax: 919-733-9173  
E-mail: Allison.Bowen@ncmail.net  
Website: www.gacpd.com  
*Allison Bowen, Acting Executive Director*

**North Carolina Department of Health & Human Services**  
2806 Mail Service Center  
Raleigh, NC 27699-3600  
Tel: 919-855-3600 / 1-800-215-7227  
Fax: 919-715-2456  
E-mail: Kathy.Brack@ncmail.net  
*Kathy Brack, CAP Director*

**North Carolina Guardian Ad Litem Program**  
P.O. Box 2448  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Tel: 919-733-7107  
Fax: 919-733-1845  
*Jane Volland*

### North Dakota

**North Dakota Client Assistance Program**  
600 South Second Street, Suite 1B  
Bismarck, ND 58504-0038  
Tel: 701-328-8947 / 1-800-207-6122 (CAP only)  
Fax: 701-328-8969  
E-mail: CAP@state.nd.us  
Website: www.state.nd.us/cap/  
*Dennis Lyon, CAP Director*

**North Dakota Protection & Advocacy Project**  
400 East Broadway, Suite 409  
Bismarck, ND 58501  
Tel: 701-328-2950 / 1-800-472-2670  
1-800-642-6694 (24 hours, in-state)  
Fax: 701-328-3934  
E-mail: tlarsen@state.nd.us  
Website: www.ndpanda.org  
*Teresa Larsen, Executive Director*

### Ohio

**Justice For Children Practicum**  
The Ohio State University Michael Mortiz College of Law 55  
West Twelfth Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43210  
Tel: 614-292-6821  
Fax: 614-292-5511  
Website: www.law.ohio-state.edu/jfc/curric/practic  
*Katherine Hunt Federle*

**Ohio Legal Rights Service**  
8 East Long Street, 5th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Tel: 614-466-7264 / 1-800-282-9181 (in-state)  
Fax: 614-644-1888  
E-mail: CKnight@olrs.state.oh.us  
Website: www.state.oh.us/olrs/  
*Carolyn Knight, Executive Director*

**PROKIDS**  
2320 Kemper Lane  
Cincinnati, OH 45206  
Tel: 513-281-2000  
Fax: 513-487-6444  
*Tracey Cook*

**University of Dayton School of Law Clinic**  
300 College Park  
Dayton, OH 45469-1320  
Tel: 937-229-3817  
*Andrea Setelstad*
Oklahoma
Client Assistance Program
Oklahoma Office of Handicapped Concerns
2401 N.W. 23\textsuperscript{rd}, Suite 90
Oklahoma City, OK 73104
Tel: 405-521-3756 / 1-800-522-8224
Fax: 405-522-6695
E-mail: James.Sirmans@ohc.state.ok.us
Website: www.state.ok.us/~ohc/cap.htm
James Sirmans, CAP Director

Oklahoma Disability Law Center, Inc.
2915 Classen Boulevard, Suite 300
Oklahoma City, OK 73106
Tel: 405-525-7755 / 1-800-880-7755 (in-state)
Fax: 405-525-7759
E-mail: odlcokc@flash.net
Website: www.oklahomadisabilitylaw.org
Kayla Bower, Executive Director

Oklahoma Lawyers for Children
100 North Broadway, Suite 2250
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Tel: 405-232-4453
Fax: 405-232-4145
E-mail: olfc@sbcglobal.net
Website: www.oklahomalawyersforchildren.com
Buddy Faye Foster, Executive Director

Tulsa Lawyers for Children, Inc.
1718 South Cheyenne
Tulsa, OK 74119
Tel: 918-585-1711
Fax: 918-383-0350
E-mail: tulsakidlaw@sbcglobal.net
Website: tulsalawyersforchildren.org
Anne Sublett

Pennsylvania
Center for Disability Law & Policy
1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 800
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: 215-557-7112 / 1-888-745-2357
Fax: 215-557-7602
E-mail: info@equalemployment.org
Stephen Pennington, CAP Director

Disability Law Clinic
The Dickinson School of Law
45 North Pitt Street
Carlisle, PA 17013
Tel: 717-243-3696
Fax: 717-243-3639
Prof. Robert Rains

Education Law Center, PA
1315 Walnut Street, 4th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Tel: 215-238-6970
Fax: 215-772-3125
Janet Stocco

Family Law Clinic
The Dickinson School of Law
45 North Pitt Street
Carlisle, PA 17013
Tel: 717-243-7968
Fax: 717-243-3639
Prof. Robert Rains

Juvenile Law Center
Philadelphia Building
1315 Walnut Street, 4th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Tel: 215-625-0551 / 1-800-875-8887
Fax: 215-625-2808
Website: www.jlc.org
Robert Schwartz

Juvenile Justice Clinic
Villanova University School of Law
299 North Spring Mill Road
Villanova, PA 19085-1682
Tel: 610-519-7070
Fax: 610-519-6282
Anne Bowen Poulin

Kids Voice
437 Grant Street, Suite 700
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Tel: 412-391-3100
Fax: 412-391-3588
Website: www.kidsvoiceorg.com

Oregon
Juvenile Rights Project
123 N.E. Third, Suite 310
Portland, OR 97232
Tel: 503-232-2540
Fax: 503-231-4767
Julie McFarlane

Oregon Advocacy Center
620 S.W. Fifth Avenue, 5\textsuperscript{th} Floor
Portland, OR 97204-1428
Tel: 503-243-2081 / 1-800-452-1694
Fax: 503-243-1738
E-mail: welcome@oradvocacy.org
Website: www.oradvocacy.org
Robert Joondeph, Executive Director
Medical/Legal Child Advocacy Clinic
University of Pennsylvania Law School
c/o Gittis Center for Clinical Legal Studies
3400 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Tel: 215-898-8427
Fax: 215-573-6783
Alan Lerner

Scott Hollander Pennsylvania P&A, Inc.
1414 North Cameron Street, Suite C
Harrisburg, PA 17103
Tel: 717-236-8110 / 1-800-692-7443
Fax: 717-236-0192
E-mail: ppa@ppainc.org
Website: www.ppainc.org
Ilene Shane, Executive Director

Support Center for Child Advocates
1900 Cherry Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: 215-925-1913
Fax: 215-925-4756
Frank Cervone

Puerto Rico
Office of the Governor
Ombudsman for the Disabled
P.O. Box 41309
San Juan, PR 00940
Tel: 787-721-4299 / 787-725-2333
Fax: 787-721-2455
E-mail: mmorales@oppi.gobierno.pr
Website: www.oppi.gobierno.pr
Jose Raul Ocasio, Executive Director

Rhode Island
Rhode Island Disability Law Center, Inc.
349 Eddy Street
Providence, RI 02903
Tel: 401-831-3150 / 1-800-733-5332 (in-state)
Fax: 401-274-5568
E-mail: hn7384@handsnet.org
Ray Bandusky, Executive Director

South Carolina
Children’s Law Office
University of South Carolina
1600 Hampton Street, Suite 502
Columbia, SC 29208
Tel: 803-777-1646
Fax: 803-777-8686
Website: http://childlaw.sc.edu/

Protection & Advocacy for People with Disabilities, Inc. & Children’s Law Office
3710 Landmark Drive, Suite 208
Columbia, SC 29204
Tel: 803-782-0639 / 1-866-275-7273
Fax: 803-790-1946
E-mail: info@protectionandadvocacy-sc.org
Website: www.protectionandadvocacy-sc.org
Gloria Prevost, Executive Director

South Dakota
South Dakota Advocacy Services
221 South Central Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501
Tel: 605-224-8294 / 1-800-658-4782 (in-state)
Fax: 605-224-5125
E-mail: keanr@sdadvocacy.com
Website: www.sdadvocacy.com
Robert Kean, Executive Director

Tennessee
Child Advocacy Clinic
University of Memphis School of Law
109 North Main Street, 2nd Floor
Memphis, TN 38103
Tel: 901-523-8822 x253
Fax: 901-543-5087
Christinia A. Zawisza

Juvenile Practice Clinic
Vanderbilt University School of Law
131 21st Avenue South
Nashville, TN 37203
Tel: 615-322-4964
Fax: 615-322-6631
Susan Brooks

Tennessee P&A, Inc.
P.O. Box 121257
Nashville, TN 37212
Tel: 615-298-1080 / 1-800-342-1660
Fax: 615-298-2046
E-mail: gethelp@tpainc.org
Website: www.tpainc.org
Shirley Shea, Executive Director

West Tennessee Legal Services
P.O. Box 2066
Jackson, TN 38301
Tel: 731-423-0616
Fax: 731-423-2600
E-mail: wtls@wtls.org
Website: www.wtls.org
J. Steven Xanthopoulos
Texas
Advocacy, Inc.
7800 Shoal Creek Boulevard, Suite 171-E
Austin, TX 78757
Tel: 512-454-4816 / 1-800-252-9108
Fax: 512-323-0902
E-mail: infoai@advocacyinc.org
Website: www.advocacyinc.org
Mary S. Faithfull, Executive Director

Children's Justice Center of El Paso
905 Noble Street
El Paso, TX 79902
Tel: 915-542-1134 / 915-542-1029
Fax: 915-544-7080
Jamye Ward

Children's Rights Clinic
The University of Texas at Austin
727 East Dean Keeton Street
Austin, TX 78705
Tel: 512-471-5253
Fax: 512-471-6988
E-mail: CRC@mail.law.utexas.edu
Website: utexas.edu/law/academics/clinics/childrens/index
Bree Buchanan

Justice for Children
2600 Southwest Freeway, Suite 806
Houston, TX 77098
Tel: 713-225-4357
Fax: 713-225-2818
E-mail: info@jfcadvocacy.org
Website: www.jfcadvocacy.org
Jim Shields

Juvenile Justice Clinic
The University of Texas School of Law
727 East Dean Keeton Street
Austin, TX 78705
Tel: 512-282-1382
Fax: 512-471-6988

Know Your Rights Project
6006 Bellare Boulevard, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77081
Tel: 713-665-1284
Fax: 713-665-7967
Thomas Brannen

South Texas Pro Bono Asylum Representation Project
301 East Madison
Harlingen, TX 78550
Tel: 210-425-9231
Fax: 210-428-3731
E-mail: porbartx@worldnet.att.net
Meredith Linsky & Pamela Jackson Sigman

Utah
Brigham Young University
453 J. Reuben Clark Building
Provo, UT 84602
Tel: 801-378-3947
Fax: 801-378-5896
Susan Griffith

Disability Law Center
The Community Legal Center
205 North, 400 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
Tel: 801-363-1347 / 1-800-662-9080
Fax: 801-363-1437
E-mail: fnelson@disabilitylawcenter.org
Website: www.disabilitylawcenter.org
Fraser Nelson, Executive Director

Utah Office of Guardian Ad Litem
450 South State Street N31
P.O. Box 140241
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
Tel: 801-238-7861
Fax: 801-578-3843
Kristen Brewer

Vermont
Vermont Disability Law Project
57 North Main Street, Suite 2
Rutland, VT 05701
Tel: 802-775-0021 / 800-769-7459
Fax: 802-775-0022
E-mail: nbreiden@vtlegalaid.org
Nancy Breiden, CAP Director

Vermont Protection & Advocacy, Inc.
141 Main Street, Suite 7
Montpelier, VT 05602
Tel: 802-229-1355 / 1-800-834-7890
Fax: 802-229-1359
E-mail: info@vtpa.org
Website: www.vtpa.org
Edward Paquin, Executive Director

Virginia
Child Advocacy Clinic
University of Virginia School of Law
580 Massie Road
Charlottesville, VA 22903
Tel: 434-977-0553
Fax: 434-977-0558
Andrew Block, Legal Director

National Children’s Law Network
Children’s Law Center
T.C. Williams School of Law
University of Richmond
Richmond, VA 23173
Tel: 804-289-8921
Fax: 804-287-6489
Website: law.richmond.edu/clinic/childrens_law_center.htm
Kelly H. Bartges & Adrienne E. Volenik

Kelly H. Bartges & Adrienne E. Volenik

JustChildren Program
Legal Aid Justice Center
100 Preston Avenue, Suite A
Charlottesville, VA 22903
Tel: 434-977-0553
Fax: 434-977-0558
Website: www.justice4all.org
Andrew Block, Legal Director

Virginia Office for Protection & Advocacy
1910 Byrd Avenue, Suite 5
Richmond, VA 23230
Tel: 804-225-2042 / 1-800-552-3962 (in-state)
Fax: 804-662-7057
E-mail: generalvopa@dsa.state.va.us
Website: www.vopa.state.va.us
V. Colleen Miller, Executive Director

Virginia Poverty Law Center
201 West Broad Street, Suite 302
Richmond, VA 23220
Tel: 804-782-9430
Fax: 804-649-3746
David Rubinstein, Director

Washington

Child Advocacy Clinic
University of Washington
4045 Brooklyn Avenue
Seattle, WA 98105
Tel: 206-543-3434
Fax: 206-543-2388
E-mail: mariavic@u.washington.edu
Maria Victoria, Director

Client Assistance Program
2531 Rainier Avenue South
Seattle, WA 98144
Tel: 206-721-5999 / 1-800-544-2121
Fax: 206-721-4537
E-mail: capseattle@att.net
Jerry Johnsen, CAP Director

Street Youth Legal Advocates of Washington
Columbia Legal Services
101 Yesler Way, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-464-5933
Fax: 206-382-3386
Website: www.sylaw.org
Casey Trupin

TeamChild
1120 East Terrace, Suite 203
Seattle, WA 98122
Tel: 206-322-2444
Fax: 206-381-1742
E-mail: questions@teamchild.org
Website: www.teamchild.org
Anne Lee

Washington P&A System
315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 850
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-324-1521 / 1-800-562-2702
Fax: 206-9576-0601
E-mail: wpas@wpas-rights.org
Website: www.wpas-rights.org
Mark Stroh, Executive Director

West Virginia

ChildLaw Services, Inc.
1505 Princeton Avenue
Princeton, WV 24740
Tel: 304-425-9973
Fax: 304-487-5733
E-mail: childlaw@citlink.net
Mary Ellen Griffith

West Virginia Advocates, Inc.
Litton Building, 4th Floor
Charleston, WV 25301
Tel: 304-346-0847 / 1-800-950-5250
Fax: 304-346-0867
E-mail: wvainfo@wvadvocates.org
Website: www.wvadvocates.org
Robert Peck, Executive Director

Wisconsin

Center for Public Representation
University of Wisconsin Law School
P.O. Box 260049
Madison, WI 53726
Tel: 608-251-4008
Fax: 608-251-1263
Website: www.law.wisc.edu
Louise Trubek
Fostering Family Ties for Children
In Their Best Interest and Volunteer Lawyers
Project of Legal Action Wisconsin
2929 West Highland Boulevard
Milwaukee, WI 53208
Tel: 414-344-1220
Fax: 414-344-1230
E-mail: itbi@core.com
Susan Conwell

Guardian Ad Litem Division
10201 Watertown Plank Road
Milwaukee, WI 53226
Tel: 414-257-7159
Fax: 414-257-7742
Michael Vruno

Volunteer Lawyers Project
Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.
230 West Wells Street, Suite 800
Milwaukee, WI 53203
Tel: 414-278-7722
Fax: 414-278-7126
John Ebbott

Wyoming
University of Wyoming Legal Services Program
P.O. Box 3035
University Station
Laramie, WY 82071
Tel: 307-766-2104
Fax: 307-766-6417
John Burman

Wyoming P&A System
320 West 25th Street, 2nd Floor
Cheyenne, WY 82001
Tel: 307-638-7668 / 1-800-821-3091
Fax: 307-638-0815
E-mail: wypanza@vcn.com
Website: www.wypanda.vcn.com
Jeanne Thobro, Executive Director